Government of West Bengal Finance Department Nabanna, Howrah

Memo No. 3554-F(e-Gov)

Date: 25.09.2025

Memorandum

Sub: Addendum to the Expression of Interest (EOI No. 2830–F(e-Gov) dated 30.07.2025) [Ref. No. EOI/2025/001/1239311] for Selection of Firms for Development of AI-Based Solutions

The following details may be noted by interested bidders in connection with the Expression of Interest (EOI No. 2830–F(e-Gov) dated 30.07.2025) [Ref. No. EOI/2025/001/1239311] for Selection of Firms for Development of AI-Based Solutions.

1. Clarifications of Queries:

The detailed clarifications to the queries raised have been annexed with this Memorandum for ready reference.

2. Single Point of Contact (SPoC):

The following senior officers have been designated as **Single Point of Contact (SPOC)** for the respective Use Cases as mentioned against their name to facilitate communication, coordination, and query resolution of the participating firms:

Use Case No.	Title	Name	Email ID
Use Case	Enterprise AI Model for the Scientific Framework of Valuation Assessment	Shri Anup Kumar Mandal, Joint Commissioner of Revenue, Directorate of Registration & Stamp Revenue, Finance Department	acsrberac.igr- wb@nic.in
Use Case 2	Digitization of old Registration Records using AI and use of AI for chain deed preparation for bringing registration records up-to- date for all properties	Shri Abhijit Bera, Joint Commissioner of Revenue, Directorate of Registration & Stamp Revenue, Finance Department	acsrperac.igr- wb@nic.in
Use Case	Establishing a Unified Social Registry for enhanced delivery of public welfare services	Shri Atanu Majumdar, IAS, OSD & ex-officio Secretary, Finance Department	majumder.ctax@nic.in
Use Case	Finance Department Enterprise AI Model for file analysis and decision making.	Shri Panicker Harishanker, IAS, Special Secretary, Finance Department	panicker.h@ias.nic.in

Note 1: The SPoCs may be contacted for their specific Use Cases after an interested bidder has qualified for PoC development, i.e. by participating firms. "Participating Firms" are those interested bidders whose Bids have been evaluated by the Technical Bid Evaluation Committee and the Finance Department has confirmed that they have qualified for PoC development of specific Use Case(s).

Note 2: Emails from participating firms addressed to the respective SPoCs may also be copied to aieoifd2025@gmail.com, pawan.kadyan@ias.nic.in, and randhir.ias@gov.in for necessary information of the Finance Department.

3. Timelines:

- Bid Submission deadline: Firms may apply for one or more use cases as per their expertise. Intending bidders may submit their bid on any working day between 11:00 AM to 5:00 PM up to 28th November, 2025 (Friday) in hard copy in a Sealed Envelope clearly marked "EOI for AI Use Case [Sl. No. and Name of the Use Case(s)]" and submit it at Room No. 1210, 12th Floor, Finance Department, Nabanna as per the details mentioned under Section 10 of the EOI.
- Bid Evaluation: The Technical Bid Evaluation Committee designated by the Finance Department shall evaluate the Qualification Criteria of a Bid within 7 working days after the receipt of a Bid in hard copy in Sealed Envelope addressed to the Finance Department as per details mentioned in the EOI.
- Commencement of PoC development: After completion of evaluation of a Bid by the Technical Bid Evaluation Committee, the bidder will be informed the result of such Bid Evaluation through email from aieoifd2025@gmail.com. A bidder, if qualified, will be informed to participate in the PoC. The email id "aieoifd2025@gmail.com" may be whitelisted/ marked as trusted by the respective bidders so that they can receive the email update. The qualified bidders i.e. 'participating firms' are expected to commence the PoC development without delay. All participating firms would be required to submit their PoC's result within the Deadline of submission of PoC results.
- Deadline for submission of PoC results: All participating firms shall be required to share their PoC's results with the Finance Department within 30th December, 2025 (Tuesday) irrespective of the date on which they may had submitted their bids. The manner of submission of the PoC's results will be informed to the qualified bidders separately.

4. Mandatory Supporting Documents for ascertaining Qualification Criteria of the EOI:

The participating firms are may note that submission of appropriate supporting documents is mandatory for establishing eligibility under the Qualification Criteria as mentioned in the EOI.

The following documents must be enclosed with the bid submission by a participating firm:

Criteria	Document Required
Status Must be a registered entity (company, partnership, or consortium) with at least 2 years of operation.	Trade License Certificate Incorporation Partnership Deed.

			In case of consortium, all companies forming the consortium will need to provide their individual Certificates of Incorporation / Trade Licenses, etc
	Valid GST registration Valid PAN		Copy of GST Registration Certificate Copy of PAN Card
Technica I Expertise :	Minimum of 3 completed projects in AI/ML, preferably in the public sector or government domain	Experience in geospatial analytics, econometrics, or valuation models. o For Use Case 2: Expertise in OCR, NLP, computer vision, or graph neural networks. For Use Case 3: Experience in federated databases, data virtualization, or DBT systems. 1. Expertise in AI/ML-Based Beneficiary Analytics and Dynamic Eligibility Engines 2. Experience with Geo-Spatial Intelligence and GIS-AI Integration 3. Expertise in AI/NLP for Data Interoperability and Semantic Mapping 4. Proven Capability in Data Harmonization and De-Duplication across Heterogeneous Systems 5. Hands-on Experience in Grievance Analytics and Citizen Feedback System 6. Demonstrated Knowledge of Data Privacy, Security Protocols, and Regulatory Compliance o For Use Case 4: Expertise in knowledge management systems or enterprise AI and	Relevant Work Orders / Completion Certificates
Financial Capabilit y:	Average annual turnover of INR 5 crore or more for IT & ITeS related activities over the last 2	enterprise GPT solutions	Firms should provide CA-certified turnover certificates and ITR

	Financial Years.	documents of the ITRs filed for respective last 2 Financial Years
Team Composit ion:	domain-specific knowledge for the applied use case(s).	Self-certified CVs of key team members + supporting evidence (employment letters,
	At least one Project Manager with 10+ years of experience in AI/ML projects	project experience letters)
Complia nce:	No blacklisting by any government or public sector entity in the last 2 years.	Self-certified declaration on company letterhead
(premie	Cybersecurity requirements should align with ISO 27001/CERT-IN standards, particularly for federated systems	Valid ISO 27001 / CERT-IN certificate copy

All interested bidders are hereby informed that any further queries, clarifications or requests for additional information, and communications relating to the Expression of Interest (EOI) may be communicated to Finance Department through email to aieoifd2025@gmail.com with a copy to pawan.kadyan@ias.nic.in and randhir.ias@gov.in.

This Addendum shall be treated as an integral part of the aforementioned EOI. All interested bidders, including those who have sought pre-bid clarifications and others, are advised to take note of this Addendum and make their submissions accordingly.

Secretary
Finance Department
Government of West Bengal

Annexure to Finance Department Memo No. 3554-F(eGov) dated 25.09.2025

Sl. No.	Heading	Category/ Reference (if any)	Queries	Replies
1		Average annual turnover of INR 5 crore or more (IT & ITeS related activities) for the last 2 financial years (CA- certified).	As per the Government of India's Startup-friendly procurement norms (Manual for Procurement of Goods, 2022 & 2024), DPIIT-recognised and State-supported Startups are eligible for certain relaxations, including: • Exemption from prior turnover and experience requirements, • Exemption from submission of Earnest Money Deposit (EMD), and • Flexibility in technical evaluation to promote innovation. Accordingly, we respectfully request an exemption from the following eligibility criteria: • Average Annual Turnover of INR 5 crore or more (for IT & ITeS-related activities) for the last two financial years,	There is no EMD or Financial Bid sought in the PoC. The intending bidder may please examine the EOI in more detail. The details related to relaxations for Startups will be informed later, if any.
2		Bid Submission deadline: Firms may apply for one or more use cases as per their expertise. Intending bidders may submit their bids up to 90days from the date of publication of this EOI.	Should we consider the deadline as Oct 30th?	The EOI was published on 30th July 2025. The last date for submission of Bids has been extended to 28th November 2025, has been mentioned under the sub-heading "3. Timelines" in this Addendum along with other details and deadlines. Late submissions will not be considered. The bidders will be informed any updates, if any, through the Finance Department website.
3		No blacklisting by any government or public sector entity in the last 2 years.	As per current industry standards followed in most RFPs and tenders floated by government and public sector entities, compliance with non-blacklisting or non-debarment criteria is typically established through a self-declaration submitted on the bidder's letterhead, duly signed by an authorized signatory. Will such a self-declaration be accepted as sufficient proof for meeting this qualification criterion?	Yes. For the purpose of this EOI, compliance with the non-blacklisting or non-debarment requirement, as specified under Section 6(e)—Compliance, may be established through a self-declaration on the bidder's official letterhead, duly signed and stamped by an authorized signatory of the bidding entity. The Finance Department reserves the right to seek additional supporting information or carry out independent verification if deemed necessary.
4			As per standard government procurement practices, two primary evaluation methodologies are commonly followed: • Quality and Cost-Based Selection (QCBS) • Least Cost Selection (LCS) In this context, we seek clarification on the following: • Is this EOI being evaluated under QCBS or LCS methodology? • If with EOI being evaluated under QCBS or LCS methodology? • If QCBS is being adopted, kindly specify the marking scheme, including the weightage assigned toPre-Qualification (PQ),Technical Qualification (TQ),and Financial Evaluation. If LCS is being followed, please confirm that only technically qualified bidders will be considered and that the lowest financial bid will be the sole basis for final selection.	This stage is an Expression of Interest (EOI) and does not seek a financial bid. The current evaluation is limited to qualification and technical capability assessment for shortlisting firms to undertake the Proof of Concept (PoC) development, as outlined in Section 5 (Selection Process) and Section 9 (PoC Evaluation). The question of whether evaluation is under QCBS or LCS does not arise in this EOI's PoC evaluation.
5			Is there a specific template to be used for preparing our bid document?	No specific bid template has been prescribed under this EOI.
6			If no template exists, please confirm the complete list of information and documents to be included in the submission.	The details of mandatory documents are mentioned in this Addendum under the sub-heading "4. Mandatory Supporting Documents for ascertaining Qualification Criteria of the EOI". Bidders must ensure that their submission covers all requirements listed in the EOI, particularly under: Section 5 (Selection Process) – Bid submission requirements, including company profile, relevant experience, approach and methodology, team composition, CVs of key personnel, and financial statements. Section 6 (Qualification Criteria) – Eligibility, technical expertise, financial capability, team composition, and compliance requirements. Bids must be submitted in hard copy, in sealed envelopes, clearly marked "EOI for AI Use Case [Sl. No. and Name of the Use Case(s)]", and addressed to the Secretary, Finance Department, as per the details provided in Section 10 (Contact Information).
7			At this stage, is there an estimate for the following for each use case: Volume of data to be processed Number of documents involved Expected number of end-users Number and type of system integrations required for final implementation	For PoC development, the volume of data, documents, etc. would depend on the robustness of the Model deployed and results achieved. Data and documents are available in sufficiently large nos. How much is required for a participant for developing a PoC is a subjective question and cannot be objectively answered at this stage. Further specific details will be discussed with participating firms during PoC development. The no. of end-users during PoC will be very limited only, say not more than 10 per use case. Any integrations with existing systems will be through standard APIs only, and will not be during PoC development stage.
8			Is there a rollout plan for the full solutions post-PoC?	In principle, yes. The firms selected through this EOI will develop PoCs for the use cases (as defined in Section 2 of the EOI). If the PoCs developed meet the desired criteria for full-fledged rollout then the Finance Department may onboard firms who qualify in such PoC development to rollout these full-fledged AI solutions for the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal.
9			Any indication of overall timelines and departments to be covered would be useful for planning.	As per the EOI (Section 6 – Timelines), the indicative timelines are as follows: Pre-bid Meeting: 11th August 2025 at 3:00 PM IST, Finance Department, Nabanna, Howrah. Bid Submission Deadline and PoC Submission Deadline are mentioned in this Addendum under the sub-heading "3. Timelines". Commencement of PoC Development: Immediately upon notification of qualification in the bid evaluation. Regarding departments to be covered: Each Use Case specifies the concerned Government entities. Separate senior officers designated as Single Point of Contact (SPOC) for each Use Case are mentioned in this Addendum separately. They would be coordinating with required Departments internally within the State Government for your convenience. For instance, Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry) may involve coordination with different scheme-administering departments such as Women & Child Development, Agriculture, Food & Supplies, Backward Classes Welfare, School Education, etc., as outlined in the EOI. For other use cases, the primary coverage and possible interactions with other departments as required will also be done for any data or validation requirements by the SPOC.
10			Can a firm apply jointly with another entity (e.g., as a technology + domain partnership)?	Yes, Consortium Bids are permitted. As per the eligibility criteria, the bidder may be a consortium with at least 2 years of operations of its consortium members. In case of a consortium bid, relevant documents and experience of each partner should be furnished. The following details may be noted for Consortium Bids: **Consortium as a whole shall have to meet the eligibility criteria and turnover requirements specified in the EOI. **The Lead Member of the Consortium should have to have at least 50% of the minimum turnover as specified in the EOI. **A Consortium Bid may use the credentials of its Associates for the purpose of qualification under this EOI, provided however that the Consortium Bid shall ensure that such Associate continues to remain an Associate throughout the Contract Period.
11			Since the PoC development cost is to be borne entirely by the bidder, will there be any reimbursement or incentives provided to firms whose PoCs are shortlisted or accepted?	As per Section 7(2), firms shall bear all costs for PoC development. The EOI does not provide for reimbursement or incentives at the PoC stage. Participation in the PoC will be acknowledged officially for all selected firms. However, after PoC development how many firms qualify is not possible to be known at this stage and is also beyond the scope of this EOI. However, the PoC development is akin to a technical bid before being considered for full-fledged rollout. A full-fledged rollout would invariably seek submission of financial bids from firms that are successfull in PoC development.

No. 2, section 3 3. Scope of Work Page No. 2, section 3 3. Scope of Work Page No. 2, section 3	Incase solutions are to be deployed on cloud, please provide details on procurement, pricing and maintainance of infrastructure Does the scope of work include maintainance and support of the developed solotion(s). If yes, please provide details on duration of maintainance and support and implementing changes during maintainance and support period	At the Expression of Interest (EOI) stage, the current scope is limited to the development of Proofs of Co (PoCs) for the identified use cases. The questions of maintenance and support of the developed solution (examined only Post-POC whenever the limited Request for Proposal (RFP) among successful firms who the PoC is floated for a later stage.
3. Scope of Work Page		NA
	(cloud or on-premise) Incase solutions are to be deployed on cloud, please provide details on procurement,	
3. Scope of Work Page	Please clarify As part of Qualification criteria, can a firm provide CS certificate for Turnover. Please provide us details on the prefered mode of deployment for use case solution(s)	Single Point of Contact (SPOC)" of this Addendum. No The solution should be capable of being hosted and deployed at the West Bengal State Data Centre.
	Under Technical Expertise the completed Projects has to meet all asked criterias or selective criterias will also do? SPOC will be designated for every use case will be post PoC evaluaton qualification?	etc.). It is not mandatory for each project to cover all listed technologies. Demonstrating capability in areas ar mentioned will be considered valid. The details of each designated Single Point of Contact (SPOC) have been provided under the sub-heading the contact of the contact (SPOC) have been provided under the sub-heading
		As per Section 6(b), Page 6 of the EOI, bidders must demonstrate a minimum of three completed project AI/ML, with relevance to any one or more of the listed technical domains (e.g., Computer Vision, NLP,
General queries	What will be selection success criteria for the use cases ?	Selection will be done in the following stages: Eligibility & Technical Qualification – Firms must meet qualification criteria as detailed in Section 6 of PoC development – Shortlisted firms will develop Proofs of Concept. PoC Evaluation - The PoC Evaluation Committee composed of experts in related fields will evaluate the its development based on well-defined criteria. Only firms that qualify the assessment of the PoC Evalua Committee will be considered for further post-POC stage. Any further updates at any later stages will be informed to all firms who qualify for developing the PoCs
	In what form the PoC results needs to be showcased ? Kindly explain	The PoC results are expected to be demonstrated in a clear and practical manner that showcases the effeteasibility and applicability of the proposed solution. Bidders are encouraged to prepare a live demo, accompanied by a presentation and brief documentation the approach, architecture, and expected outcomes. Any further updates related to showcasing PoC results will be informed to firms who qualify for develop PoCs.
	Are there any restrictions on using third-party AI models or cloud-based AI services? If so, please specify.	As such there are no overarching restrictions specified in the EOI. However, the following may be noted As per Section 7(3) of the EOI, all intellectual property rights of developed solutions will vest with the Government of West Bengal. NDA, confidentiality and masking of sensitive data related requirements we adhered to by the PoC participants as per established Govt standards. Moreover, the solution should lof being hosted and deployed at the West Bengal State Data Centre.
	Will the preferred stack vary across use cases?	There is no mandated variation; however, technology choices should be optimal for the specific function requirements of each use case and align with interoperability and integration needs as per industry stand
	Is there a preferred technology stack for the solutions?	The EOI does not mandate a fixed technology stack. Solutions may be developed using any suitable tech stack that meets the functional, performance, and security requirements as per industry standards.
	The EOI's current success metrics appear high-level — will more granular, measurable criteria be issued before PoC commencement?	Any such updates at any later stages will be informed to all firms who qualify for developing the PoCs.
	Will a well-defined set of success criteria be provided for each use case?	The PoC Evaluation Committee composed of experts in related fields will evaluate the PoC after its develoased on well-defined criteria.
	For each use case, what data and documents will the government provide to support PoC execution?	All necessary data and documents will be provided as deemed required and per availability to ensure suc PoC execution/development. NDA, confidentiality and masking of sensitive data related requirements wibe adhered to by the PoC participants as per established Govt standards.
	Will there be any further clarification on the PoC scope for individual use cases? The current EOI describes the scope for a full deployment; PoC-level expectations are not clearly differentiated.	The scope of work and deliverables of the PoC for individual use cases is clearly outlined in Section 3 at 4 of the EOI. For the sake of complete clarity during PoC development, the problem statements covering fledged scope of deployment is informed to ensure better PoC development. However, the PoC does not integration with existing systems which could be required for full deployment. Shortlisted bidders will be detailed inputs about the outputs to be demonstrated within PoC timelines.
Post-PoC Phase:	Will there be a separate RFP or commercial negotiation round post-PoC for implementation, or is this EOI process final?	Yes. The EOI is for shortlisting firms and PoC development and is akin to technical qualification for postages. Post-PoC, depending on PoC results, the Finance Department may issue a separate limited RFP ifinancial bids from those firms whose developed PoCs qualify in the evaluation by the PoC Evaluation C
	What is the anticipated timeline and budgetary framework for scaling up the PoC into a full-fledged deployment, if selected?	Timelines and budgets for full-scale deployment will be determined in the subsequent RFP Stage based of scope and complexity of the developed PoCs that qualify as selected solution(s) for full-fledged deployment.
Pre-Bid Meeting & Communication:	Can queries be submitted post pre-bid if new clarifications are required?	Queries received till now from all interested bidders have been compiled and their responses published in Addendum while not disclosing the names of concerned interested bidders. The EOI does not mandate re any subsequent queries.
Data Protection and Legal Concerns:	Can the department share specific compliance standards or legal frameworks (e.g., Personal Data Protection Bill) expected to be followed during PoC?	Bidders must comply with applicable national and state data protection laws. Compliance with ISO 270 IN standards is mandatory, as stated in Section 6(e). NDA, confidentiality and masking of sensitive data requirements will have to be adhered to as per established standards.
	If a firm qualifies for more than one use case, will those be evaluated and awarded independently or bundled under a single contract?	Each use case will be evaluated and awarded independently irrespective of whether a firm has applied fo more use cases.
	Are there any clauses regarding formation of Consortium / SPV ?	a. If an SPV is formed, consortium shareholding shall mirror the agreed participation percentages unless approved by the Finance Department. b. Lead Member to retain controlling interest (≥51%) in SPV throughout the project duration. Joint & Several Liability a. All members jointly and severally liable for performance, delivery of PoC, and project obligations. No change in Composition a. No change in members or shareholding after bid submission without prior written approval. Consortium Agreement a. Must be executed on non-judicial stamp paper before bid submission, specifying Roles &responsibilities, Shareholding pattern., Cost/profit sharing, Dispute resolution. Authorisation Power of Attorney from all members authorising Lead Member to act on their behalf.
		a. Maximum three (3) members permitted. b. Members may form a Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV) for project execution post-award. c. Lead Member a. One member designated as Lead Member. b. Lead Member shall hold minimum 51% shareholding / participation in the consortium or SPV. c. Acts as Single Point of Contact with the Finance Department. d. Other Members a. Each remaining member to hold minimum 10% shareholding in the consortium/SPV. b. Shareholding / participation percentages to be clearly stated in the Consortium Agreement. d. SPV Structure

				The EOI provides this in Section 6: Timelines: "Commencement of PoC development: The qualifying bidders will be informed the result of such Bid Evaluation thereafter through email to participate in the PoC. Upon receipt of such email from Finance Department, they are expected to commence the PoC development without delay."
34		3. Scope of Work Page No. 2, section 3	Please provide details on timeline for implementing the solution once qualified the POC evaluation for all use cases	As per "3. Timelines" of the Addendum order, all entities shall be required to share their PoC's results with the Finance Department within 30th December, 2025, irrespective of the date on which they may have submitted their bids."
	General Queries			PoC Evaluation Committee will examine the PoCs once developed and submitted. Mentioning further timelines are beyond the scope of this EOI.
				During PoC stage, for any particular Use Case the no. of users will be limited and would not exceed say 10 users.
35		3. Scope of Work Page No. 2, section 3	Please provide details on approximate number of users for the solution developed for all use cases	Once developed, the users may range in thousands. However, that is Post-POC, and such usage is not covered in the scope of this EOI. Details will be discussed with participating firms during PoC development.
36		6. Qualification Criteria: b) Technical Expertise Page No. 6, Section 6.b Technical Expertise: Minimum of 3 completed projects in AI/ML, preferably in the public sector or government domain.	Requesting to include on-going projects	No exemption or relaxation will be provided in respect of the experience requirement specified in the EOI. Only completed projects that meet the eligibility criteria will be considered for evaluation. Ongoing projects may be mentioned in the bid for reference but will not be counted towards fulfilling the minimum experience requirement.
37			Can a bidder apply for multiple use cases under a single proposal? If so, should a consolidated or separate approach be followed?	Yes. As per Section 1 and Section 6 of the EOI, bidders may apply for one or more use cases. For ease of evaluation, a separate technical approach and methodology should be provided for each use case applied for, even if submitted under a single cover.
38			Will sandbox/test environments or sample datasets be provided for PoC development?	No dedicated sandbox / test environment will be provided. However, data and documents deemed necessary for PoC development will be shared with qualified bidders. Participants will be required to adhere to Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) provisions, confidentiality obligations, and masking of sensitive data, in line with established Government standards and applicable data privacy and security requirements.
39		A. General Queries	Will existing systems or APIs (like MVDB, registration, MIS) be accessible for integration during PoC?	Direct access to live production systems would not be provided during PoC. However, any integration would be through standard APIs where deemed necessary after PoC development. Details would be discussed during PoC development as & when required.
40			Will a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) be executed prior to the release of any sensitive datasets?	Yes
41			What level of infrastructure (e.g., servers, hosting environments) will the Finance Department provide for PoC testing?	The data will be hosted on West Bengal State Data Centre. However, the PoC is to be self-financed by the participating bidder.
42			Will clarifications raised during the pre-bid be shared publicly with all prospective bidders?	Yes. All pre-bid clarifications will be compiled and shared with all interested bidders for transparency.
43			Will extension of submission deadline be considered if critical clarifications are delayed or data access is pending? Will the evaluation matrix (precision, recall, F1-score, MAE, MSE, Perplexity) have defined minimum thresholds for qualification?	Any extension, other than those expressly mentioned in this Addendum order will be at the discretion of the Finance Department and will be communicated via addendum, if granted. PoC evaluation criteria will be the same across bidders. Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages.
45		C. PoC Evaluation and Metrics	Will the same dataset be used across bidders for fair benchmarking in PoC evaluation?	PoC evaluation criteria will be the same across bidders.
46		Nicties	Is there any preference or bonus for efficiency metrics like latency, memory, or compute performance?	Efficiency metrics will be examined during PoC evaluation.
47			How will robustness and ethical bias be quantitatively assessed across models? Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered	Similar to extant industry practices.
47 48 49		D. Eligibility &	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility?	Yes, subject to validation of the documents submitted.
48		D. Eligibility & Qualification Criteria	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered	
48 49			Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility? Are domain-specific CVs evaluated during the EOI stage or only at the RFP stage? Can legal and financial documents be submitted digitally first and physically later due to	Yes, subject to validation of the documents submitted. Yes, CVs of key personnel are required at EOI stage. No. As per EOI (Section 10) bids must be submitted in scaled physical form only. As per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal. However, the pre-existing proprietary platforms, tools, or models used by the vendor for building the solution remain the property of the vendor, unless specifically customized or licensed for this engagement.
48 49 50		Qualification Criteria E. Contractual & Legal	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility. Are domain-specific CVs evaluated during the EOI stage or only at the RFP stage? Can legal and financial documents be submitted digitally first and physically later due to postal delays? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Can firms retain ownership of their base platforms while transferring usage rights of	Yes, subject to validation of the documents submitted. Yes, CVs of key personnel are required at EOI stage. No. As per EOI (Section 10) bids must be submitted in sealed physical form only. As per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal. However, the pre-existing proprietary platforms, tools, or models used by the vendor for building the solution
48 49 50		Qualification Criteria	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility? Are domain-specific CVs evaluated during the EOI stage or only at the RFP stage? Can legal and financial documents be submitted digitally first and physically later due to postal delays? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB?	Yes, subject to validation of the documents submitted. Yes, CVs of key personnel are required at EOI stage. No. As per EOI (Section 10) bids must be submitted in sealed physical form only. As per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal. However, the pre-existing proprietary platforms, tools, or models used by the vendor for building the solution remain the property of the vendor, unless specifically customized or licensed for this engagement. Any further clarity on IPR, if required, would be addressed in due course. subject to granting GoWB perpetual usage rights for deployed solutions. It is not explicitly defined in the EOI as it does not seek financial bids. However, breach of trust, confidentiality or any other terms by a bidder may lead to immediate blacklisting and other penalties by the Government. However,
48 49 50 51		Qualification Criteria E. Contractual & Legal	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility? Are domain-specific CVs evaluated during the EOI stage or only at the RFP stage? Can legal and financial documents be submitted digitally first and physically later due to postal delays? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Can firms retain ownership of their base platforms while transferring usage rights of custom solutions?	Yes, subject to validation of the documents submitted. Yes, CVs of key personnel are required at EOI stage. No. As per EOI (Section 10) bids must be submitted in sealed physical form only. As per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal. However, the pre-existing proprietary platforms, tools, or models used by the vendor for building the solution remain the property of the vendor, unless specifically customized or licensed for this engagement. Any further clarity on IPR, if required, would be addressed in due course. subject to granting GoWB perpetual usage rights for deployed solutions. It is not explicitly defined in the EOI as it does not seek financial bids. However, breach of trust, confidentiality or
48 49 50 51 52 53		Qualification Criteria E. Contractual & Legal	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility? Are domain-specific CVs evaluated during the EOI stage or only at the RFP stage? Can legal and financial documents be submitted digitally first and physically later due to postal delays? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Can firms retain ownership of their base platforms while transferring usage rights of custom solutions? What is the maximum liability cap under the terms of the PoC agreement? Will there be a formal agreement signed for PoC development to safeguard investments?	Yes, subject to validation of the documents submitted. Yes, CVs of key personnel are required at EOI stage. No. As per EOI (Section 10) bids must be submitted in sealed physical form only. As per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal. However, the pre-existing proprietary platforms, tools, or models used by the vendor for building the solution remain the property of the vendor, unless specifically customized or licensed for this engagement. Any further clarity on IPR, if required, would be addressed in due course. subject to granting GoWB perpetual usage rights for deployed solutions. It is not explicitly defined in the EOI as it does not seek financial bids. However, breach of trust, confidentiality or any other terms by a bidder may lead to immediate blacklisting and other penalties by the Government. However, such details will be informed to participating firms only at a later stage.
48 49 50 51 52 53		Qualification Criteria E. Contractual & Legal	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility? Are domain-specific CVs evaluated during the EOI stage or only at the RFP stage? Can legal and financial documents be submitted digitally first and physically later due to postal delays? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Can firms retain ownership of their base platforms while transferring usage rights of custom solutions? What is the maximum liability cap under the terms of the PoC agreement? Will there be a formal agreement signed for PoC development to safeguard investments? What is the expected response time from the Department for approval/clarification during	Yes, subject to validation of the documents submitted. Yes, CVs of key personnel are required at EOI stage. No. As per EOI (Section 10) bids must be submitted in sealed physical form only. As per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal. However, the pre-existing proprietary platforms, tools, or models used by the vendor for building the solution remain the property of the vendor, unless specifically customized or licensed for this engagement. Any further clarity on IPR, if required, would be addressed in due course. subject to granting GoWB perpetual usage rights for deployed solutions. It is not explicitly defined in the EOI as it does not seek financial bids. However, breach of trust, confidentiality or any other terms by a bidder may lead to immediate blacklisting and other penalties by the Government. However, such details will be informed to participating firms only at a later stage. Yes The SPOC for each Use Case would be responsible to clarify any matters during PoC development phase. He
48 49 50 51 52 53 54		Qualification Criteria E. Contractual & Legal Terms	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility? Can legal and financial documents be submitted digitally first and physically later due to postal delays? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Can firms retain ownership of their base platforms while transferring usage rights of custom solutions? What is the maximum liability cap under the terms of the PoC agreement? Will there be a formal agreement signed for PoC development to safeguard investments? What is the expected response time from the Department for approval/clarification during PoC phase? What is the exact format for marking envelopes (e.g., "EOI for AI Use Case No")? Is there a limit on the size/volume of annexures or technical documents to be submitted?	Yes, subject to validation of the documents submitted. Yes, CVs of key personnel are required at EOI stage. No. As per EOI (Section 10) bids must be submitted in sealed physical form only. As per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal. However, the pre-existing proprietary platforms, tools, or models used by the vendor for building the solution remain the property of the vendor, unless specifically customized or licensed for this engagement. Any further clarity on IPR, if required, would be addressed in due course. subject to granting GoWB perpetual usage rights for deployed solutions. It is not explicitly defined in the EOI as it does not seek financial bids. However, breach of trust, confidentiality or any other terms by a bidder may lead to immediate blacklisting and other penalties by the Government. However, such details will be informed to participating firms only at a later stage. Yes The SPOC for each Use Case would be responsible to clarify any matters during PoC development phase. He maybe reached out anytime. As the query is subjective, a more specific response is not possible at this stage.
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55		Qualification Criteria E. Contractual & Legal Terms	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility? Are domain-specific CVs evaluated during the EOI stage or only at the RFP stage? Can legal and financial documents be submitted digitally first and physically later due to postal delays? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Can firms retain ownership of their base platforms while transferring usage rights of custom solutions? What is the maximum liability cap under the terms of the PoC agreement? Will there be a formal agreement signed for PoC development to safeguard investments? What is the expected response time from the Department for approval/clarification during PoC phase? What is the exact format for marking envelopes (e.g., "EOI for AI Use Case No")? Is there a limit on the size/volume of annexures or technical documents to be submitted? What are the primary business objectives the Finance Department hopes to achieve by implementing these AI/ML solutions? Beyond the stated "enhancing governance, improving service Alivery, and promoting transparency", what specific pain points or strategic goals are paramount for each use case?	Yes, subject to validation of the documents submitted. Yes, CVs of key personnel are required at EOI stage. No. As per EOI (Section 10) bids must be submitted in sealed physical form only. As per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal. However, the pre-existing proprietary platforms, tools, or models used by the vendor for building the solution remain the property of the vendor, unless specifically customized or licensed for this engagement. Any further clarity on IPR, if required, would be addressed in due course. subject to granting GoWB perpetual usage rights for deployed solutions. It is not explicitly defined in the EOI as it does not seek financial bids. However, breach of trust, confidentiality or any other terms by a bidder may lead to immediate blacklisting and other penalties by the Government. However, such details will be informed to participating firms only at a later stage. Yes The SPOC for each Use Case would be responsible to clarify any matters during PoC development phase. He maybe reached out anytime. As the query is subjective, a more specific response is not possible at this stage. Mark as: "EOI for AI Use Case [Sl. No. and Name of Use Case(s)]" as per Section 8.
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56		E. Contractual & Legal Terms F. Submission Process Overall Goal and	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility? Can legal and financial documents be submitted digitally first and physically later due to postal delays? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Can firms retain ownership of their base platforms while transferring usage rights of custom solutions? What is the maximum liability cap under the terms of the PoC agreement? Will there be a formal agreement signed for PoC development to safeguard investments? What is the expected response time from the Department for approval/clarification during PoC phase? What is the exact format for marking envelopes (e.g., "EOI for AI Use Case No")? Is there a limit on the size/volume of annexures or technical documents to be submitted? What are the primary business objectives the Finance Department hopes to achieve by implementing these AI/ML solutions? Beyond the stated "enhancing governance, improving service delivery, and promoting transparency", what specific pain points or strategic goals are paramount for each use case? Are there any pre-defined Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) or success metrics for measuring the impact and ROI (Return on Investment) of these AI initiatives, both at the Proof of Concept (PoC) stage and for full-fledged solutions?	Yes, subject to validation of the documents submitted. Yes, CVs of key personnel are required at EOI stage. No. As per EOI (Section 10) bids must be submitted in sealed physical form only. As per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal. However, the pre-existing proprietary platforms, tools, or models used by the vendor for building the solution remain the property of the vendor, unless specifically customized or licensed for this engagement. Any further clarity on IPR, if required, would be addressed in due course. subject to granting GoWB perpetual usage rights for deployed solutions. It is not explicitly defined in the EOI as it does not seek financial bids. However, breach of trust, confidentiality or any other terms by a bidder may lead to immediate blacklisting and other penalties by the Government. However, such details will be informed to participating firms only at a later stage. Yes The SPOC for each Use Case would be responsible to clarify any matters during PoC development phase. He maybe reached out anytime. As the query is subjective, a more specific response is not possible at this stage. Mark as: "EOI for AI Use Case [Sl. No. and Name of Use Case(s)]" as per Section 8. No specific page limit; bidders should ensure submissions are clear and well-organized. The EOI has mentioned the details of use case–specific objectives and strategic goals in Sections 2 and at Appendix A.
50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57		E. Contractual & Legal Terms F. Submission Process Overall Goal and Objectives Overall Goal and	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility? Are domain-specific CVs evaluated during the EOI stage or only at the RFP stage? Can legal and financial documents be submitted digitally first and physically later due to postal delays? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Can firms retain ownership of their base platforms while transferring usage rights of custom solutions? What is the maximum liability cap under the terms of the PoC agreement? Will there be a formal agreement signed for PoC development to safeguard investments? What is the expected response time from the Department for approval/clarification during PoC phase? What is the exact format for marking envelopes (e.g., "EOI for AI Use Case No")? Is there a limit on the size/volume of annexures or technical documents to be submitted? What are the primary business objectives the Finance Department hopes to achieve by implementing these AI/ML solutions? Beyond the stated "enhancing governance, improving service delivery, and promoting transparency", what specific pain points or strategic goals are paramount for each use case? Are there any pre-defined Key Peformance Indestors (KPIs) or success metrics for measuring the impact and Rol (Return on Indestentors (NP fiss) or success metrics for measuring the impact and foll (Return on Indestentors (NP fiss) or success metrics for measuring the impact and foll (Return on Indestentors (NP fiss) or success metrics for measuring the impact and foll (Return on Indestentors (NP fiss) or success metrics for measuring the impact and foll (Return on Indestentors (NP fiss) or success metrics for measuring the impact and followed the Finance Department's current IT infrastructure, including server environments (physical/virtual), network architecture, and data center facilities?	Yes, subject to validation of the documents submitted. Yes, CVs of key personnel are required at EOI stage. No. As per EOI (Section 10) bids must be submitted in sealed physical form only. As per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal. However, the pre-existing proprietary platforms, tools, or models used by the vendor for building the solution remain the property of the vendor, unless specifically customized or licensed for this engagement. Any further clarity on IPR, if required, would be addressed in due course. subject to granting GoWB perpetual usage rights for deployed solutions. It is not explicitly defined in the EOI as it does not seek financial bids. However, breach of trust, confidentiality or any other terms by a bidder may lead to immediate blacklisting and other penalties by the Government. However, such details will be informed to participating firms only at a later stage. Yes The SPOC for each Use Case would be responsible to clarify any matters during PoC development phase. He maybe reached out anytime. As the query is subjective, a more specific response is not possible at this stage. Mark as: "EOI for AI Use Case [Sl. No. and Name of Use Case(s)]" as per Section 8. No specific page limit; bidders should ensure submissions are clear and well-organized. The EOI has mentioned the details of use case–specific objectives and strategic goals in Sections 2 and at Appendix A. Yes. Required IT infrastructure and facilities are available. The query seems to be beyond the scope of the current EOI. However, necessary details would be discussed during PoC development as & when required.
50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58		E. Contractual & Legal Terms F. Submission Process Overall Goal and Objectives Overall Goal and Objectives Current Infrastructure & AI Readiness Current Infrastructure & AI Readiness	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility. Are domain-specific CVs evaluated during the EOI stage or only at the RFP stage? Can legal and financial documents be submitted digitally first and physically later due to postal delays? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Can firms retain ownership of their base platforms while transferring usage rights of custom solutions? What is the maximum liability cap under the terms of the PoC agreement? Will there be a formal agreement signed for PoC development to safeguard investments? What is the expected response time from the Department for approval/clarification during PoC phase? What is the exact format for marking envelopes (e.g., "EOI for AI Use Case No")? Is there a limit on the size/volume of annexures or technical documents to be submitted? What are the primary business objectives the Finance Department hopes to achieve by implementing these AI/ML solutions? Beyond the stated "enhancing governance, improving service delivery, and promoting transparency", what specific pain points or strategic goals are paramount for each use case? Are there any pre-defined Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) or success metrics for neasuring the impact and ROI (Return on Investment) of these AI initiatives, both at the Proof of Concept (PoC) stage and for full-fledged solutions? Can you provide a high-level overview of the Finance Department's current IT infrastructure, including server environments (physical/virtual), network architecture, and data center facilities? Are there any existing AI/ML platforms, Big Data tools, or specific software solutions currently in use within the Government of West Bengal that the proposed solutions should integrate with or build upon?	Yes, subject to validation of the documents submitted. Yes, CVs of key personnel are required at EOI stage. No. As per EOI (Section 10) bids must be submitted in sealed physical form only. As per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal. However, the pre-existing proprietary platforms, tools, or models used by the vendor for building the solution remain the property of the vendor, unless specifically customized or licensed for this engagement. Any further clarity on IPR, if required, would be addressed in due course. subject to granting GoWB perpetual usage rights for deployed solutions. It is not explicitly defined in the EOI as it does not seek financial bids. However, breach of trust, confidentiality or any other terms by a bidder may lead to immediate blacklisting and other penalties by the Government. However, such details will be informed to participating firms only at a later stage. Yes The SPOC for each Use Case would be responsible to clarify any matters during PoC development phase. He maybe reached out anytime. As the query is subjective, a more specific response is not possible at this stage. Mark as: "EOI for AI Use Case [Sl. No. and Name of Use Case(s)]" as per Section 8. No specific page limit; bidders should ensure submissions are clear and well-organized. The EOI has mentioned the details of use case–specific objectives and strategic goals in Sections 2 and at Appendix A. Yes. Required IT infrastructure and facilities are available. The query seems to be beyond the scope of the current EOI. However, necessary details would be discussed during PoC development as & when required. Yes. Integration is not a part of the scope of the PoC. However, any integration would be through standard APIs where deemed necessary after PoC development. Details would be discussed during PoC development as & when
51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58		E. Contractual & Legal Terms F. Submission Process Overall Goal and Objectives Overall Goal and Objectives Current Infrastructure & AI Readiness Current Infrastructure & Current Infrastructure & Current Infrastructure	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility? Are domain-specific CVs evaluated during the EOI stage or only at the RFP stage? Can legal and financial documents be submitted digitally first and physically later due to postal delays? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Can firms retain ownership of their base platforms while transferring usage rights of custom solutions? What is the maximum liability cap under the terms of the PoC agreement? Will there be a formal agreement signed for PoC development to safeguard investments? What is the expected response time from the Department for approval/clarification during PoC phase? What is the exact format for marking envelopes (e.g., "EOI for AI Use Case No")? Is there a limit on the size/volume of annexures or technical documents to be submitted? What are the primary business objectives the Finance Department hopes to achieve by implementing these AI/ML solutions? Beyond the stated "enhancing governance, improving service delivery, and promoting transparency", what specific pain points or strategic goals are paramount for each use case? Are there any pre-defined key Performance Indicators (KPIs) or success metrics for measuring the impact and ROI (Return on Investment) of these AI initiatives, both at the Proof of Concept (PoC) stage and for full-fledged solutions? Can you provide a high-level overview of the Finance Department's current IT infrastructure, including server environments (physical/virtual), network architecture, and data center facilities? Are there any existing AI/ML platforms, Big Data tools, or specific software solutions currently in use within the Government of West Bengal that the proposed solutions should integrate with or build upon? What is the current state of data governance policies and practices withi	Yes, subject to validation of the documents submitted. Yes, CVs of key personnel are required at EOI stage. No. As per EOI (Section 10) bids must be submitted in sealed physical form only. As per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal. However, the pre-existing proprietary platforms, tools, or models used by the vendor for building the solution remain the property of the vendor, unless specifically customized or licensed for this engagement. Any further clarity on IPR, if required, would be addressed in due course. subject to granting GoWB perpetual usage rights for deployed solutions. It is not explicitly defined in the EOI as it does not seek financial bids. However, breach of trust, confidentiality or any other terms by a bidder may lead to immediate blacklisting and other penalties by the Government. However, such details will be informed to participating firms only at a later stage. Yes The SPOC for each Use Case would be responsible to clarify any matters during PoC development phase. He maybe reached out anytime. As the query is subjective, a more specific response is not possible at this stage. Mark as: "EOI for AI Use Case [Sl. No. and Name of Use Case(s)]" as per Section 8. No specific page limit; bidders should ensure submissions are clear and well-organized. The EOI has mentioned the details of use case—specific objectives and strategic goals in Sections 2 and at Appendix A. Yes. Required IT infrastructure and facilities are available. The query seems to be beyond the scope of the current EOI. However, necessary details would be discussed during PoC development as & when required.
51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61		E. Contractual & Legal Terms F. Submission Process Overall Goal and Objectives Overall Goal and Objectives Current Infrastructure & AI Readiness Current Infrastructure & Current Infrastructure & Current Infrastructure	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility? Are domain-specific CVs evaluated during the EOI stage or only at the RFP stage? Can legal and financial documents be submitted digitally first and physically later due to postal delays? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Can firms retain ownership of their base platforms while transferring usage rights of custom solutions? What is the maximum liability cap under the terms of the PoC agreement? Will there be a formal agreement signed for PoC development to safeguard investments? What is the expected response time from the Department for approval/clarification during PoC phase? What is the exact format for marking envelopes (e.g., "EOI for AI Use Case No")? Is there a limit on the size/volume of annexures or technical documents to be submitted? What are the primary business objectives the Finance Department hopes to achieve by implementing these AI/ML solutions? Beyond the stated "enhancing governance, improving service delivery, and promoting transparency", what specific pain points or strategic goals are paramount for each use case? Are there any pre-defined Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) or success metrics for measuring the impact and ROI (Return on Investment) of these AI initiatives, both at the Proof of Concept (PoC) stage and for full-fledged solutions? Can you provide a high-level overview of the Finance Department's current IT infrastructure, including server environments (physical/virtual), network architecture, and data center facilities? Are there any existing AI/ML platforms, Big Data tools, or specific software solutions currently in use within the Government of West Bengal that the proposed solutions should integrate with or build upon? What is the current state of data governance policies and practices withi	Yes, Subject to validation of the documents submitted. Yes, CVs of key personnel are required at EOI stage. No. As per EOI (Section 10) bids must be submitted in sealed physical form only. As per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal. However, the pre-existing proprietary platforms, tools, or models used by the vendor for building the solution remain the property of the vendor, unless specifically customized or licensed for this engagement. Any further clarity on IPR, if required, would be addressed in due course. subject to granting GoWB perpetual usage rights for deployed solutions. It is not explicitly defined in the EOI as it does not seek financial bids. However, breach of trust, confidentiality or any other terms by a bidder may lead to immediate blacklisting and other penalties by the Government. However, such details will be informed to participating firms only at a later stage. Yes The SPOC for each Use Case would be responsible to clarify any matters during PoC development phase. He maybe reached out anytime. As the query is subjective, a more specific response is not possible at this stage. Mark as: "EOI for AI Use Case [Sl. No. and Name of Use Case(s)]" as per Section 8. No specific page limit; bidders should ensure submissions are clear and well-organized. The EOI has mentioned the details of use case—specific objectives and strategic goals in Sections 2 and at Appendix A. Yes. Required IT infrastructure and facilities are available. The query seems to be beyond the scope of the current EOI. However, necessary details would be discussed during PoC development as & when required. Yes. Integration is not a part of the scope of the PoC. However, any integration would be through standard APIs where deemed necessary after PoC development. Details would be discussed during PoC development as & when required.
51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62		E. Contractual & Legal Terms F. Submission Process Overall Goal and Objectives Overall Goal and Objectives Current Infrastructure & AI Readiness Current Infrastructure & AI Readiness Current Infrastructure & Current	Will international experience (outside India) in public sector AI projects be considered valid for eligibility? Are domain-specific CVs evaluated during the EOI stage or only at the RFP stage? Can legal and financial documents be submitted digitally first and physically later due to postal delays? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Will intellectual property developed using pre-existing proprietary modules also vest with GoWB? Can firms retain ownership of their base platforms while transferring usage rights of custom solutions? What is the maximum liability cap under the terms of the PoC agreement? Will there be a formal agreement signed for PoC development to safeguard investments? What is the expected response time from the Department for approval/clarification during PoC phase? What is the exact format for marking envelopes (e.g., "EOI for AI Use Case No")? Is there a limit on the size/volume of annexures or technical documents to be submitted? What are the primary business objectives the Finance Department hopes to achieve by implementing these AI/ML solutions? Beyond the stated "enhancing governance, improving service delivery, and promoting transparency", what specific pain points or strategic goals are paramount for each use case? Are there any pre-defined Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) or success metrics for measuring the impact and ROI (Return on Investment) of these AI initiatives, both at the Proof of Concept (PoC) stage and for full-fledged solutions? Can you provide a high-level overview of the Finance Department's current IT infrastructure, including server environments (physical/virtual), network architecture, and data center facilities? Are there any existing AI/ML platforms, Big Data tools, or specific software solutions currently in use within the Government of West Bengal that the proposed solutions should integrate with or build upon? What is the current state of data governance policies and practices with	Yes, subject to validation of the documents submitted. Yes, CVs of key personnel are required at EOI stage. No. As per EOI (Section 10) bids must be submitted in sealed physical form only. As per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal. However, the pre-existing proprietary platforms, tools, or models used by the vendor for building the solution remain the property of the vendor, unless specifically customized or licensed for this engagement. Any further clarity on IPR, if required, would be addressed in due course. subject to granting GoWB perpetual usage rights for deployed solutions. It is not explicitly defined in the EOI as it does not seek financial bids. However, breach of trust, confidentiality or any other terms by a bidder may lead to immediate blacklisting and other penalties by the Government. However, such details will be informed to participating firms only at a later stage. Yes The SPOC for each Use Case would be responsible to clarify any matters during PoC development phase. He maybe reached out anytime. As the query is subjective, a more specific response is not possible at this stage. Mark as: "EOI for AI Use Case [Sl. No. and Name of Use Case(s)]" as per Section 8. No specific page limit; bidders should ensure submissions are clear and well-organized. The EOI has mentioned the details of use case—specific objectives and strategic goals in Sections 2 and at Appendix A. Yes. Required IT infrastructure and facilities are available. The query seems to be beyond the scope of the current EOI. However, necessary details would be discussed during PoC development as & when required. Yes. Integration is not a part of the scope of the PoC. However, any integration would be through standard APIs where deemed necessary after PoC development. Details would be discussed during PoC development as & when required.

66	Cloud Infrastr	ructure	Does the Government of West Bengal have a preferred cloud strategy (e.g., specific	The solution should be capable of being hosted and deployed at the West Bengal State Data Centre. Further
67	Cloud Infrastr		public cloud provider like AWS, Azure, GCP; private cloud; hybrid cloud model)? Are there any specific data residency requirements that mandate data to be stored	details will be shared during PoC development. Yes
68	Security & Co		exclusively within India or within government-controlled data centers? Beyond the stated ISO 27001/CERT-IN standards, are there any other specific cybersecurity frameworks, government security policies, or audit requirements that the	Solutions must also adhere to applicable national cyber security guidelines, sectoral regulations and State-specific security policies in force at the time of implementation.
69	Security & Co	ompliance	solutions must adhere to? What is the expected incident response plan or security breach notification protocol that firms must integrate with or adhere to?	As per IT guidelines of the Government.
70	User Base & S	Scalability	What are the long-term scalability expectations for each solution in terms of anticipated data growth, user growth, and integration with future government systems?	The query seems to be beyond the scope of the current EOI. However, necessary details would be discussed during PoC development as & when required.
71	Technology Pr & Strategy	references	Are there any preferences for open-source versus proprietary software components in the proposed AI solutions?	This is open to innovation. There are no recommended solutions. However, efficiency metrics including compute performance and cost of operation may form a part of the PoC evaluation criteria of the PoC Evaluation Committee. Also, it may be kept in mind that as per Section 7, Page 8 of the EOI, all Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arising out of the work carried out under this engagement—including PoC development—shall be owned by the Finance Department, Government of West Bagale. Any further clarity on IPR, if required, would be addressed in due course.
72	Technology Pr & Strategy	references	What is the long-term maintenance and support strategy envisioned for the full-fledged AI solutions (e.g., in-house team management, vendor support contract, hybrid model)?	The query is beyond the scope of the EOI. The strategy would typically include a hybrid approach. However, it will be known whenever post-POC RFP is floated.
73	Project Manag Support (Tech Aspects)		What level of technical documentation (beyond user manuals and training materials) is expected for the AI models, including model architecture, training data specifics, and performance benchmarks?	Yes, all these details are expected.
74	A. General Qu	ueries	Will the 120-day PoC clock start after documents are shared, or from EOI publication . PoC timeline will be dependent on the information which are required as a part of due diligence .	The extended dates are mentioned under the sub-heading "3. Timelines" in this Addendum.
75			Broader Recognition of AI/ML Delivery Experience Given the emerging nature of AI/ML deployments in the government sector, would the Department consider relevant experience from other regulated industries (such as BFSI, healthcare, utilities), where projects have operated under comparable compliance, security, and scale constraints, as qualifying towards the "completed projects" requirement?	Yes. While preference will be given to projects implemented in the public sector or government domain, the Department recognizes that AI/ML expertise in other regulated industries—such as Banking, Financial Services and Insurance (BFSI), healthcare, utilities, or similarly compliance-intensive sectors—can be highly relevant, provided such projects have operated under comparable compliance, data privacy, security and scale constraints.
76			Eligibility Criteria – Minimum of 3 Completed AI/ML Projects The Eol specifies a minimum of 3 completed projects in AI/ML, preferably in the public sector or government domain. Would the Department consider relaxing this requirement, including allowing the use of consortium partner credentials to collectively meet the "3 completed projects" threshold, where the bidding team can demonstrate strong domain expertise, relevant technical capability, and readiness to prove competence through the mandated PoC stage?	Consortium Bids are permitted. As per the eligibility criteria, the bidder may be a consortium with at least 2 years of operations of its consortium members. In case of a consortium bid, relevant documents and experience of each partner should be furnished. The following details may be noted for Consortium Bids: Consortium as a whole shall have to meet the eligibility criteria and turnover requirements specified in the EOI. The Lead Member of the Consortium should have to have at least 50% of the minimum turnover as specified in the EOI. A Consortium Bid may use the credentials of its Associates for the purpose of qualification under this EOI, provided however that the Consortium Bid shall ensure that such Associate continues to remain an Associate throughout the Contract Period.
77			3. Inclusion of PoCs and Large-Scale PrototypesMany innovative AI/ML solutions, particularly in public-sector-like environments, begin as large-scale pilots or PoCs before transitioning to production. Would the Department consider successfully delivered PoCs/prototypes - meeting all functional and technical objectives for reputed organizations - as eligible experience, even if the ongoing live operations were managed by the client's in-house teams?	Yes. However, only completed projects meeting the stated criteria will be considered.
78			Demonstrating Capability via PoC Since the selection process includes a PoC stage, would the Department consider permitting technically strong but government-new bidders to qualify for PoC participation, so that evaluation is based on actual solution performance against the Department's use cases?	Technical selection will be based on qualification criteria as mentioned in the EOI.
79	General - Cap		5. Team Experience Criteria For the requirement of a Project Manager with 10+ years of AI/ML project experience, would the Department accept combined credentials where a senior transformation leader has extensive program delivery experience and is supported by AI/ML technical leads with proven implementation track records?	No. Such relaxations, if any, will be informed later by the Finance Department.
80	Oriented Fram	illing	Consortium Partner Credentials If the lead bidder possesses strong government-domain delivery experience but limited inhouse AI/ML capability, can the consortium partner's AI/ML project credentials be leveraged to meet the technical eligibility criteria?	Consortium Bids are permitted. As per the eligibility criteria, the bidder may be a consortium with at least 2 years of operations of its consortium members. In case of a consortium bid, relevant documents and experience of each partner should be furnished. The following details may be noted for Consortium Bids: Consortium as a whole shall have to meet the eligibility criteria and turnover requirements specified in the EOI. The Lead Member of the Consortium should have to have at least 50% of the minimum turnover as specified in the EOI. A Consortium Bid may use the credentials of its Associates for the purpose of qualification under this EOI, provided however that the Consortium Bid shall ensure that such Associate continues to remain an Associate throughout the Contract Period.
81			7. Eligibility Criteria – Use Case 1: Experience in Geospatial Analytics, Econometrics, or Valuation Models The EoI specifies prior experience in geospatial analytics, econometrics, or valuation models as part of the eligibility criteria for Use Case 1. Would the Department consider relaxing this to also include bidders who have demonstrated capability in developing AI/ML solutions for large-scale data integration and predictive modelling, and who can bring in the required geospatial/econometric expertise through a consortium partner or domain specialist during the PoC and implementation phases?	Consortium Bids are permitted. As per the eligibility criteria, the bidder may be a consortium with at least 2 years of operations of its consortium members. In case of a consortium bid, relevant documents and experience of each partner should be furnished. The following details may be noted for Consortium Bids: *Consortium as a whole shall have to meet the eligibility criteria and turnover requirements specified in the EOI. *The Lead Member of the Consortium should have to have at least 50% of the minimum turnover as specified in the EOI. *A Consortium Bid may use the credentials of its Associates for the purpose of qualification under this EOI, provided however that the Consortium Bid shall ensure that such Associate continues to remain an Associate throughout the Contract Period.
82			8. Eligibility Criteria – Use Case 3: Federated Databases, Geo-Spatial Intelligence, and Grievance Analytics The EoI specifies prior experience in (i) Geo-Spatial Intelligence and GIS-AI integration, and (ii) hands-on experience in grievance analytics and citizen feedback systems. Given that these are diverse and highly specialized skill areas, would the Department consider allowing bidders to meet this requirement through a combination of in-house expertise and consortium partnerships, or by demonstrating equivalent capabilities through related large-scale AI/ML implementations, with the opportunity to validate these capabilities during the PoC stage?	Consortium Bids are permitted. As per the eligibility criteria, the bidder may be a consortium with at least 2 years of operations of its consortium members. In case of a consortium bid, relevant documents and experience of each partner should be furnished. The following details may be noted for Consortium Bids: Consortium as a whole shall have to meet the eligibility criteria and turnover requirements specified in the EOI. The Lead Member of the Consortium should have to have at least 50% of the minimum turnover as specified in the EOI. A Consortium Bid may use the credentials of its Associates for the purpose of qualification under this EOI, provided however that the Consortium Bid shall ensure that such Associate continues to remain an Associate throughout the Contract Period.

83		5. Selection Process a) Bid Submission Financial Statements of the last 3 Financial Years 6. Qualification Criteria: c) Financial Capability: - Average annual turnover of INR 5 crore or more for IT & ITeS related activities over the last 2 financial years Positive net worth in the last 2 financial years.	Under 5. Selection Process, a) Bid Submission, the financial statements are sought for last 3 Financial Years. However, under 6. Qualification Criteria, c) Financial Capability, financial statements are sought for last 2 Financial years	Please refer to the sub-heading "4. Mandatory Supporting Documents for ascertaining Qualification Criteria of the EOI" of this Addendum.
84			For the AI-led Valuation Assessment System (Usecase 1), what datasets related to recent property transactions are available internally, and how would you prefer we validate outputs given the known gap between guideline values and actual market rates?	Sufficient data on transactions of properties through registered deeds are available. However, identification of gap between guideline value and value in actual market is also a deliverable of the use case. Therefore, the bidder shall have to identify suitable mechanism for identification of the gaps as well as validation of the gaps so identified.
85			Are there any data sensitivity or classification issues(Restricted zones etc)	All firms are advised to build their solutions with privacy-by-design principles and to ensure full compliance with ISO 27001/CERT-IN standards wherever applicable. However, PoC development would not be affected due to any restricted zones.
86			Will the bidder have access to any existing GIS infrastructure?	Integration during PoC development is not envisaged. However, GIS data will be provided as per requirement where available.
87			Will past transaction data and geospatial datasets be shared during the PoC phase?	Yes. However, the participating firm is also expected to complement this with publicly available geospatial data and tools, where necessary, for model enhancement, validation, and ground-truthing. Further, as the question is open ended, a definitive answer to the query is not possible till selection of firm for participation in the PoC.
88		Enterprise AI Model for	What accuracy benchmarks or confidence thresholds are expected for zone delineation and valuation prediction?	Specific thresholds are not prescribed in the EOI. However, higher accuracy and confidence will positively influence evaluation scores. Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages.
89		Scientific Valuation Assessment	Will crawling public real estate listings for training/validation be permitted under compliance guidelines?	Permitted if compliant with applicable laws and terms of source sites.
90			compinance guidenines: Will validation require field surveys conducted independently by bidders or jointly with the government?	Field surveys data used will be of those surveys that are conducted by Government /jointly only.
91			the government: It is integration with the live Market Valuation Database required at PoC or only during final implementation?	Integration is not required during PoC stage.
92			Are there any recommended models (e.g., hedonic pricing) or is the approach open to innovation?	Approach is open to innovation. There are no recommended models. Any approaches may be used if felt appropriate.
93		Data Environment (Specific to Use Cases)	Use Case 1: What are the typical file formats and the data freshness/update frequency for the "transaction records, GIS layers, and Market Valuation Database (MVDB)"?	The databases are 'Live' and new data keeps getting added daily. Formats are compatible with industry standards.
94		Data Environment (Specific to Use Cases)	Use Case 1: What is the estimated volume and historical depth of these datasets (e.g., number of property transactions per year, size of GIS layers)?	The volume and historical depth is high. Necessary details would be discussed during PoC development as & when required depending on a PoC's requirements.
95		User Base & Scalability	Use Case 1 (Property Valuation): What is the estimated number of concurrent users for the valuation model within the Directorate of Registration & Stamp Revenue, and what is the expected transaction volume (e.g., number of valuations/revisions) per day/month?	For PoC development, there won't be any such issues. Post-PoC, the concurrent users may be around 300 and the expected transaction volume per day may be upto 20000.
96		Use Case Specific Technical Clarifications	Use Case 1 (Property Valuation): Can you provide sample datasets or detailed schema for the "transaction records, GIS layers, and Market Valuation Database (MVDB)" for a specific representative region to aid in solution design?	Yes, details will be provided during PoC development.
97			What exact datasets will be shared for the PoC? Transaction records (sale date, sale price, property type, area, floor, age, etc.) Survey data (amenities, building condition) GIS layers (ward boundaries, zones, coordinates) Existing MVDB data.	Transaction records and MVDB data samples will be shared. Data and documents deemed necessary for PoC development will be provided. NDA, confidentiality and masking of sensitive data related requirements will have to be adhered to by the PoC participants as per established Govt standards and applicable data privacy and security requirements.
98			Will datasets include historical data or only recent records? How far back do records go?	Historical data is available for as long as genuinely required. It will be shared depending on the PoC Model's requirement. Data and documents deemed necessary for PoC development will be provided.
99			Are datasets digitized and clean, or will significant preprocessing be needed?	Datasets are digitized. Significant preprocessing may not be needed. However, some data cleaning and preprocessing may still be crucial for meaningful analysis.
100			Will unique property identifiers be consistent across datasets? Will sensitive data (owner names, IDs) be anonymized before sharing?	Unique property identifiers may not always be consistent across all datasets. Bidders must sign an NDA and comply with privacy-by-design principles, ISO 27001/CERT-IN standards and applicable data protection laws to ensure that any sensitive information remains secure throughout the PoC development.
102			How and when will we get dataset access — before PoC start or after award?	Data / datasets will be shared during PoC development. Data will be shared in formats suited to the nature of each dataset — this may include bulk CSV/Excel files,
103			Will data be shared via API, bulk CSV/Excel, or secure database access?	scanned documents, or secure database extracts. For any integration needs, standard APIs may be provided, but API-based access will not be part of the PoC development stage and would be considered only at the post-PoC implementation stage.
104		Use Case 1: Enterprise AI Model for Scientific Valuation Assessment	Can we integrate external public datasets (e.g., govt open data, Google Places, remote sensing imagery) in the PoC?	Yes, the details may be discussed as part of proposed process flow and PoC Model proposed by a firm during PoC development. Bidders may integrate external public datasets into the PoC, provided that: The data is publicly available and its use complies with applicable laws and the terms of the source sites. Any integration enhances model performance, validation and ground-truthing without violating data privacy, security, or intellectual property rights.
105			Should the valuation model predict transaction price or update official MVDB rates?	The PoC for the valuation model is expected to focus on scientific valuation assessment and prediction of property values based on the provided datasets and other relevant inputs. Mechanism or broad guideline of the procedure to be followed for updation should be a deliverable of the PoC so as to understand the feasibility of the model. Updating official Market Valuation Database (MVDB) rates is not within the PoC scope.
106	Use Case 1		Are we expected to deliver zonal valuation maps as part of the PoC?	Not maps, but valuation data based on identification of zones is expected. The details will be discussed during PoC development.
107			What level of spatial granularity is expected — ward, street, building etc.? Should the model handle forecasting future valuation trends or only current value?	Property level granurity is expected. Forecasting may intrinsically be a part of the exercise of evaluation of current values. Such details may depend on
108			Should the model handle forecasting(future valuation trends) or only current values? Are there weights for different factors (accuracy vs explainability vs speed)?	the PoC model proposed by respective firms and can be discussed during PoC development. Yes
110			Should the PoC integrate with an existing government application (e.g., property registration system)?	Integration is not envisaged in PoC stage. Post-POC, integration during full-fledged deployment is required. So necessary APIs may be needed to be showcased during PoC development that can push data when required.
111			In what format should results be delivered — dashboard, API, reports, GIS shapefiles?	All these formats may be required. The PoC results are expected to be demonstrated in a clear and practical manner. Firms are encouraged to prepare a live demo, accompanied by a presentation and brief documentation.
112			Is there a preferred tech stack or hosting environment (on-premises, government cloud, external cloud allowed)?	There is no mandated technology stack in the EOI. Firms may choose any stack that meets the functional, performance, and security requirements. They are expected to discuss the details with the SPOC for feedback during PoC development. However, the solution must be capable of being hosted and deployed at the West Bengal State Data Centre.

			,
113		Q1. To develop a supervised model, labelled data is crucial. Can the Department confirm that the provided transaction records will contain the final property valuation or sale price, which can serve as the "label"? Is any manual data labelling or annotation activity anticipated to be part of the scope?	Labelled data will be provided. However, the query is not clear. Details will be discussed during PoC development.
114		Q2. Section 1 of Appendix A mentions providing existing databases like "transaction records, GIS layers, and the Market Valuation Database (MVDB)."	Data will be shared in formats suited to the nature of each dataset — this may include bulk CSV/Excel files, scanned documents, or secure database extracts.
114		What will be the formats in which this data will be provided (e.g., CSV, database dump, API)?	For any integration needs, standard APIs may be provided, but API-based access will not be part of the PoC development stage and would be considered only at the post-PoC implementation stage.
115	Enterprise AI Model for the Scientific	Any known data quality issues, such as missing values or inconsistencies, that we should be aware of for the data cleaning phase?	The Finance Department, Government of West Bengal, maintains multiple datasets relevant to the proposed AI use cases, sourced from various departmental systems and historical records. Given the heterogeneous nature of these datasets, some quality issues such as incomplete fields, outdated entries, inconsistencies in formats (e.g., date formats, spellings) and duplication may exist, particularly in legacy datasets.
116	Framework of Valuation Assessment	Q3. The scope mentions a mechanism for "periodic revision." Could the Department provide an indication of the expected frequency of these updates (e.g., quarterly, semi-annually, on-demand) to help us design a suitable system architecture?	There is no predefined or fixed frequency. However, feature for uploading updates by predesignated users may be kept. Access to central repository through APIs would only also be provided in post-POC stage.
117		Q4. Page 9 lists "User Interface Design" as a PoC evaluation criterion. Could you please clarify the expected scope and key functionalities of the user interface for the final, full-fledged solution beyond the Proof of Concept? For instance, will it require dashboards, reporting features, or interactive map-based visualizations?	The PoC results are expected to be demonstrated in a clear and practical manner. Firms are encouraged to prepare a live demo, accompanied by a presentation and brief documentation.
118		Q5. The EOI states that the firm should use AI to delineate zones based on "statistically significant variables." does the Department have any pre-identified or high-priority parameters that must be included in the model?	Yes, some details are there. However, they may not the full set of required details and the bidders will be required to identify the full set of variables as such statistical modelling is a requirement and deliverable of the PoC.
119		Q6. For ground-truthing, the EOI mentions crawling "real estate website listings." Does the Department have a list of preferred or indicative websites that are considered reliable sources for property data in West Bengal? Are there any specific websites we should avoid?	There is no such preference. Publically accessible website data is expected to be web crawled. Separate permissions are not envisaged in the scope of the EOI. For any such data pulling from a website, the participating firm shall have to check that it is permissible as per the policy of the concerned website as well as under the Law.
120	Availability & Sources of Transaction Data	Clarify if recent and historical property transaction records (including sale deeds) are available in digital form and at what granularity (property, zone, district).	Necessary details upto Property level of granurality in digital form are available.
121	GIS Data Specifications	Confirm what GIS layers (administrative boundaries, land use, transport networks, satellite imagery) are available, including file formats, coordinate systems, and update frequency.	All these GIS layers are available. File formats are as per industry standards. Update frequency varies depending on the type of GIS layer, however, it is as per industry standards.
122	Market Valuation Database (MVDB) Access	Specify the fields, historical range, and update schedule of MVDB data, and whether historical factor weights are available.	Necessary details of fields, update schedule and historical data are available. The details will be discussed during PoC development stage when required.
123	External Data Sources	Clarify if integration with web-scraped property listings and market portals is allowed, and whether any preferred APIs or data vendors are specified.	Yes. Integration with publicly available web-scraped property listings and market portals is permitted for validation and benchmarking, subject to compliance with applicable laws, data privacy, and terms of use. The Department has not specified any preferred APIs or data vendors; bidders are free to propose suitable sources in their technical approach.
124	Ground Truth & Validation	Confirm if field survey data will be provided or if it must be collected by the bidder, including sampling methodology.	Field survey data will not be provided by the Department. Bidders are required to conduct field surveys for validation purposes. The sampling methodology must be proposed by the bidder in their technical approach. The Department may provide broad guidance on parameters, but the design and execution of the survey will be the bidder's responsibility.
125	Factor Identification Methodology	Request existing documentation or previous studies on factors influencing property valuation in the state.(Rules and factor needed)	The details of earlier studies and Rules will be discussed during PoC development when required.
126	Frequency of Model Updates	Clarify the expected frequency for revising valuation zones, base values, and factor weights (quarterly, annually).	The "Expression of Interest" document states that the objective is to "develop an AI/ML-based mechanism for periodic revision of zones, base values, and factor weights". It is expected that the bidder will propose a suitable
127	Integration Requirements	Determine whether the AI model must integrate directly into existing valuation software or will run as a standalone module in the PoC.	methodology and frequency for these revisions as part of their solution. For the PoC, the AI model is expected to run as a standalone module, working with datasets provided or collected by the bidder. Direct integration with existing valuation software is not required at the PoC stage. However, the proposed solution should be designed to allow seamless integration with the Department's valuation systems at the implementation stage.
128		For the AI-led Deed Digitisation and Chain of Title system, what proportion of physical deeds have already been scanned, and at what typical resolution or quality levels? Also, since many deeds may include local code words or terms specific to West Bengal, what kind of support can we expect from local registration offices during the PoC to help validate and interpret these documents correctly	A large no. of deeds, in crores, have already been scanned. The resolution is 200 dpi at least. Bidders are expected to have resources for basic output validation of the Bengali language. However, for any technical terms or codes or any particular matters requiring specific guidance, it would be provided during PoC development.
129	Technical Clarifications:	Should the conversational interface and OCR modules support both Bengali and English during PoC, or is proof of concept in English sufficient?	OCR (Use Case 2): Yes both Bengali and English OCR capability is expected, as legacy records are mostly in handwritten Bengali.
130	Digitization of Handwritten Records	Will there be some Bengali specific data sets that will be shared by the client?	Yes, scanned copies of legacy handwritten records will be shared.
131	Document restoration	Are there any resolution requirements for restoring degraded documents? Will the Finance Department provide a set of manually annotated legacy Bengali deeds for OCR training?	Yes, they should be legible and have at least 200 dpi resolution. Yes
133		What character recognition accuracy is expected of the OCR model for PoC qualification?	Specific thresholds are not prescribed in the EOI. However, higher accuracy and confidence will positively influence evaluation scores.
134	Digitization of Old Registration Records &	Are there any pre-defined metadata fields (like the 60 types mentioned) available as a	Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages. Yes, the list of different types of metadata will be provided during PoC development.
135	Chain Deed Preparation	schema for reference? Can hybrid models (AI + human validation) be proposed during metadata validation?	Techniques like RLHF, etc. may be used.
136		Is the use of Graph Neural Networks (GNN) mandatory for deed linkage, or are other	Approach is open to innovation. There are no recommended models. Any approaches may be used if felt
137		approaches acceptable? Should the deed generation model provide legal validation or just structural templates	appropriate. It should create legally sound structural templates based on metadata. Providing legal validation is not required
		based on metadata?	even though such a feature could enhance the evaluation score. The participants in the PoC do not have to scan the legacy physical records as the scanned samples of such
138	Data Environment (Specific to Use Cases)	Use Case 2: What is the estimated total number of legacy handwritten Bengali registration records requiring digitization, and what is their approximate physical condition?	records in sufficient numbers would be made available to the participants. The legacy records are physically preserved in Record Rooms. Their condition is as can be expected after the passage of many years and the resultant natural wear and tear. Their total number is not required for PoC development though it is in crores.
139	Data Environment (Specific to Use Cases)	Use Case 2: Are there any sample sets of these legacy documents available for initial OCR model training and testing?	Yes. Necessary details would be discussed during PoC development as & when required depending on a PoC's requirements. During PoC development, these are not immediate concerns. However, Post-PoC, the daily throughput for
140	User Base & Scalability	Use Case 2 (Digitization): What is the expected daily/weekly throughput for digitized documents and metadata extraction? How many users will typically interact with the metadata validation and chain deed reconstruction tools?	During PoC development, these are not immediate concerns. However, Post-PoC, the daily throughput for digitized documents and metadata extraction should deliver outputs for at least be 50k documents, with each document having around 7-10 pages. Multiple instances of the solution may be run simultaneously to scale up the throughput to such levels and higher. The users who may interact daily may be around 1000 and the expected interactions per day may be upto 100000.
141		What volume of deed documents will be provided for the PoC (number of pages, number of deeds)?	There are sufficient no. of documents available. The no.s that will be provided will depend on the PoC Model's requirements.
142		What document types will be included — handwritten, typed, printed, or mixed?	It'll be a mix of scanned copies of handwritten and typed documents. A large majority of the documents will be handwritten. Some would be typed.
143		Will samples cover different time periods(e.g., old-degraded ink or modern printed forms)?	Yes, both types of samples will be there.
144		In addition to Bengali, will there be any probability of other languages and scriptsappear	Apart from Bengali, there will be some English as well. Any other language's noteable text is not expected to be
145		in the documents? Will scanned images be high resolution (minimum DPI) and will there be colour scans or only block and white?	there in the metadata to be captured. Minimum 200 dpi resolution scanned documents will be provided. Images will be primarily black & white.
146		only black-and-white? Will metadata (like registration year, location, property number) be provided alongside the scanned images?	A 14 digit unique code may be provided alongside scanned images.
147		Is the Government providing any existing labelled datasets (image + ground truth text)	No
	1	for Bengali cursive OCR? Page 6 of 11	

14			For translation/transliteration — should the output be English only or also retain the original Bengali text?	The output is required in English primarily. However, it is advisable that the PoC output retains both — the translated English content for broader accessibility, and the original Bengali text for reference and higher administrative scrutiny and accuracy. Doing so will also support evaluation aspects like accuracy, inclusiveness, and usability.
14			Are there accuracy targets for OCR and translation (e.g., word-level accuracy \geq X%)?	Specific targets are not prescribed in the EOI. However, higher accuracy and confidence will positively influence evaluation scores.
15			Should OCR handle handwritten signatures and seals, or can those be excluded?	Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages. Can be excluded.
15		Use Case 2: Digitization	Should we restore degraded/damaged documents for archival, or it will be used for other purposes?	Restored documents are required for other purposes.
15	:	of Old Registration Records & Chain Deed Preparation	Are we expected to deliver image enhancement/restoration tools as part of the PoC?	It is inherently required to achieve the required deliverables though no dedicated image enhancement/restoration tool delivery is explicitly mandated in the EOI. However, bidders are expected to process degraded documents sufficiently to meet the PoC's accuracy and legibility requirements (e.g., \geq 200 dpi for OCR)
15			What data fields should be used to identify chain of ownership (e.g., property ID, owner name, address, deed number)?	Primarily Buyer & Seller Names and Property Addresses. Innovations for chain identification are encouraged and expected.
15			Will there be a unique property identifier across all deeds, or will we rely on fuzzy matching?	Unique Property identifiers will not be there in all cases. Other techniques and innovations would be required.
15			Is the chain linking limited to current ownership history, or should it reconstruct full historical ownership from the earliest available record?	Reconstruction of historical ownership from the earliest available record is desired in the Post-POC stage. The PoC needs to be developed accordingly.
15			Should digitized deeds and metadata be stored in a searchable database with full-text search?	Yes. Further details will be discussed during PoC development.
15	Use Case 2		Should we provide GIS integration to map properties on a map interface?	Not required in this Use Case. All these formats would be required to be incorporated post-POC. The output format should be selected to best
15			What is the preferred output format — PDF with searchable text, structured JSON/XML, or database entry?	demonstrate the proposed solution's functionality, interoperability, and ease of integration in a potential full-scale deployment. Acceptable formats include, but are not limited to, PDF with searchable text, structured JSON/XML, and database-ready formats. The PoC should be designed with flexibility to support multiple formats if required in later stages.
15			Should the PoC integrate with any existing land records / property registration system?	Integration is not envisaged in PoC stage. Post-POC, integration during full-fledged deployment is required. So necessary APIs may be needed to be showcased during PoC development that can push data when required.
16			What evaluation metrics will be applied — OCR accuracy, field-level extraction F1 score, chain linking correctness?	These are other evaluation metrics will be examined. PoC evaluation criteria will be the same across bidders. Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages.
16			Is there an expected turnaround time per document for processing?	Specific targets are not prescribed in the EOI. However, the developed solution should demonstrate efficiency and reasonable processing speeds commensurate with the document type, size, and complexity, while meeting accuracy and quality requirements.
	_		Q1. For developing the Bengali-specific OCR and NLP models, does the Department	Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages.
16			possess or can it provide any linguistic resources, such as dictionaries of historical legal terms, gazetteers, or any pre-labeled (ground truth) data that could accelerate model training?	The Bengali script has not changed in this period under consideration for digitisation. Therefore, a separate linguistic resource or dictionary is not separately required. Freely available resources may be used.
16		Digitization of Old Registration Records and AI-Driven Chain	Q2. Page 12 mentions the challenge of digitizing cursive Bengali. What is the Department's target accuracy for the OCR? How will this accuracy be measured and validated?	Specific targets are not prescribed in the EOI. However, higher accuracy and lower error thresholds will positively influence evaluation scores. Checking for accuracy of character recognition will have to be done by participating firms during PoC development. Some checks would be done by the Department to check veracity of the claimed accuracy during PoC development.
16		Deed Preparation	Q3. What are the key data points or business rules that should be used to link two deeds as being for the same property? For example, is there a unique plot identifier, or must the model rely on fuzzy matching of owner names, property descriptions, and neighbour details?	There is no universal unique plot identifier.
16			Q4. What is the desired format for the output of the chain deed reconstruction? Is it a visual graph showing ownership flow, a chronological list of linked deed numbers, or another format?	Acceptable formats include, but are not limited to, PDF with searchable text, structured JSON/XML, and database-ready formats. The PoC should be designed with flexibility to support multiple formats if required in later stages.
16		Digitization Approach	Confirm if the Bengali-specific OCR model must be built from scratch or can leverage existing pretrained OCR frameworks with domain-specific fine-tuning for eursive Bengali scripts.	Existing pretrained OCR frameworks may be leveraged, subject to compliance with licensing terms. However, bidders are expected to carry out domain-specific fine-tuning and model adaptation to handle cursive Bengali scripts, degraded handwritten records, and mixed-script documents. The evaluation will focus on accuracy and usability of the output rather than development of a new OCR engine.
16		Metadata Requirements	Clarify the exact metadata fields to be extracted (e.g., owner names, dates, property IDs, survey numbers) and whether transliteration/translation should be standardized across outputs.	Standarized metadata fields to be extracted. Yes.
16		Document Restoration Standards:	Define the expected quality improvement metrics for degraded documents (e.g., resolution, legibility score) and the acceptable restoration methods (e.g., AI-based super-resolution, de-noising).	For degraded documents, the bidder's solution should demonstrate measurable quality improvements. Minimum expected standards include resolution of 200 dpi equivalent
16		Chain Deed Reconstruction Scope:	Specify whether historical linkage is limited to certain decades/record types or covers all digitized deeds; confirm how missing or ambiguous linkages should be handled.	Historical linkage is not limited to certain decades or deed types; the solution should be capable of handling all digitized deeds. While prioritization may be given to high-volume categories and more recent decades, the system should extend across the entire archive. In cases of missing or ambiguous linkages, the solution should not force assignments but instead flag such instances with confidence scores, leaving them for manual review or curation by the Department.
17		Deed Preparation Automation	Clarify the degree of automation — whether the AI should prepare fully ready-to-register deeds or generate drafts for manual verification.	The objective is to assist officials through automation while ensuring legal accuracy through human oversight.
17		Handwriting Variability	Clarify the range of handwriting styles to be covered — e.g., period-specific scripts, variations in ink quality, and non-standard character forms — and whether the dataset will include annotated handwriting samples for model training.	The solution must be capable of handling a wide range of handwriting styles, including period-specific Bengali and English scripts, variations in ink quality, and non-standard character forms. The Department will provide sample deed scans for PoC, but annotated handwriting datasets will not be supplied in full. Bidders are expected to undertake domain-specific fine-tuning and, where necessary, create annotated datasets for training. Limited annotated samples may be shared by the Department for evaluation purposes only.
17		Mutation Automation Boundaries	Specify which parts of the mutation process will be automated versus human-verified. Define acceptable error rates before a chain deed is deemed valid for mutation.	The chain deeds establishing the chain of change of property ownership will need to be prepared during the PoC development. Such a chain will lead to the latest ownership status in registration records and make the property 'mutation ready' to enable mutation seamlessly. The process of automated mutation in such a scenario has already been developed and would not be required to be developed as a part of the PoC. Further details of the process and acceptable errors, if any, will be discussed during PoC development. The ideal error rate is zero.
17		Integration with Existing Systems	Confirm the technical standards for integrating OCR outputs, metadata, and reconstructed deeds into current registration department systems. Define API/data exchange format requirements.	The details will be discussed during PoC development stage. Integration is not a part of the scope of the PoC. However, any integration would be through standard APIs where deemed necessary after PoC development. Details would be discussed during PoC development as & when required. For any integration related aspects, standard APIs may be provided even though API-based access to Live production environment will not be permitted during PoC development stage and would be considered only at the post-PoC implementation stage.
17		Data Privacy & Security	Outline the legal and compliance framework for handling sensitive ownership records, including encryption standards and archival retention policies.	Bidders must comply with all applicable national and state data protection laws. Compliance with ISO 27001/CERT-IN standards is mandatory.Bidders are required to adhere to Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA), confidentiality, and data masking requirements as per established government standards.
17		Validation Process	Specify the methodology for validating OCR accuracy, metadata correctness, and chain deed integrity (e.g., sampling size, human-in-loop verification, automated cross-checks).	The bidder is expected to propose a detailed methodology for validating the accuracy of the model's output in their technical proposal. This should include the proposed metrics and approach for ensuring the quality of the digitized deeds and the correctness of the generated metadata and historical linkages.
17		Scalability & Maintenance	Clarify whether the AI models must be designed for continuous retraining with new data and if the system will be maintained centrally or at the district/sub-registrar office level.	The AI models must be designed to support continuous retraining as new data and corrections become available. The solution will be hosted and maintained centrally, with district and sub-registrar offices serving as data entry and validation points. Centralized deployment will ensure uniformity of models and outputs across the State.
17			Are there structured datasets currently available for training eligibility and segmentation models?	Yes. However, as the question is open ended, a definitive answer to the query is not possible.
17			Will historical beneficiary and inclusion/exclusion data be provided?	Yes. However, as the question is open ended, a definitive answer to the query is not possible.

179			Will access to relevant scheme documents, MIS databases, and legacy records be	Yes. However, as the question is open ended, a definitive answer to the query is not possible.
180			provided for PoC? Is a governance structure defined for inter-departmental collaboration and conflict	Senior officers as Single Points of Contact (SPOCs) have been designated for each use case. However, as the
181			resolution regarding eligibility criteria? Which departments and schemes are prioritized for integration during the PoC phase?	question is not clear, a definitive answer to the query is not possible. For PoC purposes under Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry), a select set of departments and schemes have been
			Is there an existing Master Data Management (MDM) system or data dictionary	selected. Details will be communicated to shortlisted PoC participants. Government maintains federated databases. One of the objective's of the PoC is to streamline its database
182			framework in place? What standards or formats are mandated for semantic interoperability (e.g., JSON-LD,	management. The PoC should be designed to accommodate widely accepted interoperability standards such as JSON,
183			RDF, XSD)?	XML/XSD, or RDF, with flexibility to adopt specific standards to be finalized at the implementation stage.
184		Use Cases 3 & 4	Are geospatial datasets (tribal maps, census blocks, satellite imagery) available and accessible for area identification?	Yes. However, the participating firm is also expected to complement this with publicly available geospatial data and tools, where necessary, for model enhancement, validation, and ground-truthing. Further, as the question is open ended, a definitive answer to the query is not possible till selection of firm for participation in the PoC.
185			Will IP rights of PoC remain with the developer or be transferred to the government?	As per Section 7(3) of the EOI, all intellectual property rights of developed solutions will vest with the Government of West Bengal.
186			Who will provide the infrastructure? Will the data be hosted exclusively on state data centers, or can secure cloud	The PoC is to be self-financed by the participating bidder. The data will be hosted on West Bengal State Data Centre. However, the PoC is to be self-financed by the
187			infrastructure (e.g., AWS, Azure, NIC cloud) be used? Is there any data residency requirement (i.e., must all data reside within state	participating bidder.
188			infrastructure)?	Yes. There are no phases. The query is not clearly understood. However, the PoC during development has to be tested
189			How many queries/conversation transactions is the department looking for in the first phase?	Department would not be running any queries/conversations except for testing results of the PoC under development.
190			For initial phases of development and testing, can 3rd party enterprise solutions be used (not applicable for fraud detection)?	The PoC will be tested after development on its resource usage requirements among other parameters. However, the models and solutions deployed by a bidder are upto them.
191		Technical Clarifications:	For the Unified Social Registry, what type of real-time integrations with department MIS systems are expected during PoC?	No real-time integrations are expected during PoC stage.
192		Federated database	, ,	The Finance Department will exercise strict access control and governance protocols while sharing any sensitive
		management	What level of controls will be exercised by the client in sharing sensitive data? Will synthetic or anonymized datasets be shared to simulate beneficiary identification,	or classified data. NDA, confidentiality and masking of sensitive data related requirements will have to be adhered to by the PoC participants as per established Govt standards.
193			segmentation, and DBT use cases? Will the architecture for federated databases need to be demonstrated in a live multi-	Yes
194			departmental PoC setup? What standards or taxonomies are to be followed for interoperability across schemes and	Yes
195		Unified Social Registry	departments?	Interoperability Framework for e-Governance (IFEG) – India may be followed. Specific thresholds are not prescribed in the EOI. However, higher accuracy and confidence with low latency will
196		for Enhanced Delivery of Welfare Services	What is the expected real-time performance benchmark for the eligibility engine?	Specific thresholds are not prescribed in the EOL However, nighter accuracy and confidence with low latency will positively influence evaluation scores. Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages.
197			Will the Department provide grievance redressal data for training the sentiment analysis model?	There is no grievance redressal portal of the Social Registry Portal as of now. However, an effort to provide data from a separate grievance redressal portal is being made.
198			Is compliance with the DPDP Act 2023 mandatory or will evolving standards suffice?	Yes, along with ISO 27001/CERT-IN alignment.
199		Data Environment (Specific to Use Cases)	Use Case 3: What are the typical database technologies used by individual departmental schemes?	The main database of the Social Registry Portal is in PostgreSQL. Different departments are using different databases, e.g., MSSQL, etc.
200		Data Environment (Specific to Use Cases)	Use Case 3: What is the estimated total volume of beneficiary data across all schemes, and what is the typical update frequency for this data?	Database is evolving. At present, it is around 22Crores. Each scheme has its own frequency, ranging from monthly to annual.
201		Data Environment (Specific to Use Cases)	Use Case 3: Are there any existing unique identifiers for citizens or households across different schemes that could aid in data de-duplication and harmonization?	There is no unique identifier across the databases of each department. However, in Social Registry Portal, Family ID is being used to identify the family. There are some beneficiaries who are not mapped to any Family ID due to
		(Specific to Osc Cases)	different schemes that could aid in data de-duplication and narmonization:	lack of unique identifier.
202		System Integration & Interoperability	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across	
202		System Integration &	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be
		System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms.
203		System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking &	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC.
203		System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking &	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g.,	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there.
203 204 205		System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking & Accessibility	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g., citizen feedback for Use Case 3, deed drafting services for Use Case 2)? For handling sensitive data (especially in Use Case 3), what are the specific requirements	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there. The AI solutions will be accessible over internet as well but any such access will be login based.
203 204 205 206		System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking & Accessibility Security & Compliance	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g., citizen feedback for Use Case 3, deed drafting services for Use Case 2)? For handling sensitive data (especially in Use Case 3), what are the specific requirements for data anonymization, pseudonymization, or encryption (at rest and in transit)? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the estimated total number of beneficiaries across all 100+ schemes? What is the expected volume of real-time queries for eligibility checks or beneficiary segmentation? What is the anticipated volume of "structured and unstructured grievance inputs (calls, texts, social media)" per day for the NLP-based feedback loop? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the precise definition of "near real-time" for beneficiary identification and updation? For "anomaly detection in scheme utilization," what are the most critical types of "irregularities in benefit usage patterns" (e.g., specific fraud scenarios, duplicate claims, over-entitlement) that the system should prioritize detecting?	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there. The AI solutions will be accessible over internet as well but any such access will be login based. Data would be provided in anonymized manner. The dataset is evolving and the current count is 22 Crores. The queries for eligibility checks are not in live environment at present, so no estimate is available as of now. Grievance input volumes are not available as the
203 204 205 206 207		System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking & Accessibility Security & Compliance User Base & Scalability Use Case Specific	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g., citizen feedback for Use Case 3, deed drafting services for Use Case 2)? For handling sensitive data (especially in Use Case 3), what are the specific requirements for data anonymization, pseudonymization, or encryption (at rest and in transity)? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the estimated total number of beneficiaries across all 100+ schemes? What is the expected volume of real-time queries for eligibility checks or beneficiary segmentation? What is the anticipated volume of "structured and unstructured grievance inputs (calls, texts, social media)" per day for the NLP-based feedback loop? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the precise definition of "near real-time" for beneficiary identification and updation? For "anomaly detection in scheme utilization," what are the most critical types of "irregularities in benefit usage patterns" (e.g., specific fraud scenarios, duplicate claims, over-entitlement) that the system should prioritize detecting? Could you walk us through how your current architecture handles duplicate or inconsistent records across different schemes and departments?	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there. The AI solutions will be accessible over internet as well but any such access will be login based. Data would be provided in anonymized manner. The dataset is evolving and the current count is 22 Crores. The queries for eligibility checks are not in live environment at present, so no estimate is available as of now. Grievance input volumes are not available as the same is not in the live environment. "Near real time" refers to the time when the Social Registry gets updated. The "irregularities" would be intimated
203 204 205 206 207 208		System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking & Accessibility Security & Compliance User Base & Scalability Use Case Specific	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g., citizen feedback for Use Case 3, deed drafting services for Use Case 2)? For handling sensitive data (especially in Use Case 3), what are the specific requirements for data anonymization, pseudonymization, or encryption (at rest and in transit)? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the estimated total number of beneficiaries across all 100+ schemes? What is the expected volume of real-time queries for eligibility checks or beneficiary segmentation? What is the anticipated volume of "structured and unstructured grievance inputs (calls, texts, social media)" per day for the NLP-based feedback loop? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the precise definition of "near real-time" for beneficiary identification and updation? For "anomaly detection in scheme utilization," what are the most critical types of "irregularities in benefit usage patterns" (e.g., specific fraud scenarios, duplicate claims, over-entitlement) that the system should prioritize detecting? Could you walk us through how your current architecture handles duplicate or	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there. The AI solutions will be accessible over internet as well but any such access will be login based. Data would be provided in anonymized manner. The dataset is evolving and the current count is 22 Crores. The queries for eligibility checks are not in live environment at present, so no estimate is available as of now. Grievance input volumes are not available as the same is not in the live environment. "Near real time" refers to the time when the Social Registry gets updated. The "irregularities" would be intimated when the POC is being developed.
203 204 205 206 207 208		System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking & Accessibility Security & Compliance User Base & Scalability Use Case Specific	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g., citizen feedback for Use Case 3, deed drafting services for Use Case 2)? For handling sensitive data (especially in Use Case 3), what are the specific requirements for data anonymization, pseudonymization, or encryption (at rest and in transit)? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the estimated total number of beneficiaries across all 100+ schemes? What is the expected volume of real-time queries for eligibility checks or beneficiary segmentation? What is the anticipated volume of "structured and unstructured grievance inputs (calls, texts, social media)" per day for the NLP-based feedback loop? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the precise definition of "near real-time" for beneficiary identification and updation? For "anomaly detection in scheme utilization," what are the most critical types of "irregularities in benefit usage patterns" (e.g., specific fraud scenarios, duplicate claims, over-entillement) that the system should prioritize detecting? Could you walk us through how your current architecture handles duplicate or inconsistent records across different schemes and departments? What challenges are you currently facing with data harmonization across your federated	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there. The AI solutions will be accessible over internet as well but any such access will be login based. Data would be provided in anonymized manner. The dataset is evolving and the current count is 22 Crores. The queries for eligibility checks are not in live environment at present, so no estimate is available as of now. Grievance input volumes are not available as the same is not in the live environment. "Near real time" refers to the time when the Social Registry gets updated. The "irregularities" would be intimated when the POC is being developed. This would be detailed at the time of development of POC.
203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210		System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking & Accessibility Security & Compliance User Base & Scalability Use Case Specific	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g., citizen feedback for Use Case 3, deed drafting services for Use Case 2)? For handling sensitive data (especially in Use Case 3), what are the specific requirements for data anonymization, pseudonymization, or encryption (at rest and in transity)? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the estimated total number of beneficiaries across all 100+ schemes? What is the expected volume of real-time queries for eligibility checks or beneficiary segmentation? What is the anticipated volume of "structured and unstructured grievance inputs (calls, texts, social media)" per day for the NLP-based feedback loop? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the precise definition of "near real-time" for beneficiary identification and updation? For "anomaly detection in scheme utilization," what are the most critical types of "irregularities in benefit usage patterns" (e.g., specific fraud scenarios, duplicate claims, over-entitlement) that the system should prioritize detecting? Could you walk us through how your current architecture handles duplicate or inconsistent records across different schemes and departments? What challenges are you currently facing with data harmonization across your federated databases? Are	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there. The AI solutions will be accessible over internet as well but any such access will be login based. Data would be provided in anonymized manner. The dataset is evolving and the current count is 22 Crores. The queries for eligibility checks are not in live environment at present, so no estimate is available as of now. Grievance input volumes are not available as the same is not in the live environment. "Near real time" refers to the time when the Social Registry gets updated. The "irregularities" would be intimated when the POC is being developed. This would be detailed at the time of development of POC. Lack of unique identifier is the biggest challenge. The database of the Ration Card is used as the base database for this purpose. PostgreSQL 16 is being used.
203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211		System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking & Accessibility Security & Compliance User Base & Scalability Use Case Specific	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g., citizen feedback for Use Case 3, deed drafting services for Use Case 2)? For handling sensitive data (especially in Use Case 3), what are the specific requirements for data anonymization, pseudonymization, or encryption (at rest and in transit)? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the estimated total number of beneficiaries across all 100+ schemes? What is the expected volume of real-time queries for eligibility checks or beneficiary segmentation? What is the anticipated volume of "structured and unstructured grievance inputs (calls, texts, social media)" per day for the NLP-based feedback loop? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the precise definition of "near real-time" for beneficiary identification and updation? For "anomaly detection in scheme utilization," what are the most critical types of "irregularities in benefit usage patterns" (e.g., specific fraud scenarios, duplicate claims, over-entillement) that the system should prioritize detecting? Could you walk us through how your current architecture handles duplicate or inconsistent records across different schemes and departments? What challenges are you currently facing with data harmonization across your federated databases? Are	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there. The AI solutions will be accessible over internet as well but any such access will be login based. Data would be provided in anonymized manner. The dataset is evolving and the current count is 22 Crores. The queries for eligibility checks are not in live environment at present, so no estimate is available as of now. Grievance input volumes are not available as the same is not in the live environment. "Near real time" refers to the time when the Social Registry gets updated. The "irregularities" would be intimated when the POC is being developed. This would be detailed at the time of development of POC. Lack of unique identifier is the biggest challenge. The database of the Ration Card is used as the base database for this purpose.
203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212	Use Case 3	System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking & Accessibility Security & Compliance User Base & Scalability Use Case Specific	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g., citizen feedback for Use Case 3, deed drafting services for Use Case 2)? For handling sensitive data (especially in Use Case 3), what are the specific requirements for data anonymization, pseudonymization, or encryption (at rest and in transity)? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the estimated total number of beneficiaries across all 100+ schemes? What is the expected volume of real-time queries for eligibility checks or beneficiary segmentation? What is the anticipated volume of "structured and unstructured grievance inputs (calls, texts, social media)" per day for the NLP-based feedback loop? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the precise definition of "near real-time" for beneficiary identification and updation? For "anomaly detection in scheme utilization," what are the most critical types of "irregularities in benefit usage patterns" (e.g., specific fraud scenarios, duplicate claims, over-entitlement) that the system should prioritize detecting? Could you walk us through how your current architecture handles duplicate or inconsistent records across different schemes and departments? What challenges are you currently facing with data harmonization across your federated databases? Are	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there. The AI solutions will be accessible over internet as well but any such access will be login based. Data would be provided in anonymized manner. The dataset is evolving and the current count is 22 Crores. The queries for eligibility checks are not in live environment at present, so no estimate is available as of now. Grievance input volumes are not available as the same is not in the live environment. "Near real time" refers to the time when the Social Registry gets updated. The "irregularities" would be intimated when the POC is being developed. This would be detailed at the time of development of POC. Lack of unique identifier is the biggest challenge. The database of the Ration Card is used as the base database for this purpose. PostgreSQL 16 is being used. Specific thresholds are not prescribed in the EOI. However, higher accuracy and confidence will positively influence evaluation scores.
203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213	Use Case 3	System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking & Accessibility Security & Compliance User Base & Scalability Use Case Specific	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g., citizen feedback for Use Case 3, deed drafting services for Use Case 2)? For handling sensitive data (especially in Use Case 3), what are the specific requirements for data anonymization, pseudonymization, or encryption (at rest and in transit)? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the estimated total number of beneficiaries across all 100+ schemes? What is the expected volume of real-time queries for eligibility checks or beneficiary segmentation? What is the anticipated volume of "structured and unstructured grievance inputs (calls, texts, social media)" per day for the NLP-based feedback loop? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the precise definition of "near real-time" for beneficiary identification and updation? For "anomaly detection in scheme utilization," what are the most critical types of "irregularities in benefit usage patterns" (e.g., specific fraud scenarios, duplicate claims, over-entitlement) that the system should prioritize detecting? Could you walk us through how your current architecture handles duplicate or inconsistent records across different schemes and departments? What challenges are you currently facing with data harmonization across your federated databases? Are	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there. The AI solutions will be accessible over internet as well but any such access will be login based. Data would be provided in anonymized manner. The dataset is evolving and the current count is 22 Crores. The queries for eligibility checks are not in live environment at present, so no estimate is available as of now. Grievance input volumes are not available as the same is not in the live environment. "Near real time" refers to the time when the Social Registry gets updated. The "irregularities" would be intimated when the POC is being developed. This would be detailed at the time of development of POC. Lack of unique identifier is the biggest challenge. The database of the Ration Card is used as the base database for this purpose. PostgreSQL 16 is being used. Specific thresholds are not prescribed in the EOI. However, higher accuracy and confidence will positively influence evaluation scores. Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages.
203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214	Use Case 3	System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking & Accessibility Security & Compliance User Base & Scalability Use Case Specific	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g., citizen feedback for Use Case 3, deed drafting services for Use Case 2)? For handling sensitive data (especially in Use Case 3), what are the specific requirements for data anonymization, pseudonymization, or encryption (at rest and in transit)? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the estimated total number of beneficiaries across all 100+ schemes? What is the expected volume of real-time queries for eligibility checks or beneficiary segmentation? What is the anticipated volume of "structured and unstructured grievance inputs (calls, texts, social media)" per day for the NLP-based feedback loop? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the precise definition of "near real-time" for beneficiary identification and updation? For "anomaly detection in scheme utilization," what are the most critical types of "irregularities in benefit usage patterns" (e.g., specific fraud scenarios, duplicate claims, over-entitlement) that the system should prioritize detecting? Could you walk us through how your current architecture handles duplicate or inconsistent records across different schemes and departments? What challenges are you currently facing with data harmonization across your federated databases? Are	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there. The AI solutions will be accessible over internet as well but any such access will be login based. Data would be provided in anonymized manner. The dataset is evolving and the current count is 22 Crores. The queries for eligibility checks are not in live environment at present, so no estimate is available as of now. Grievance input volumes are not available as the same is not in the live environment. "Near real time" refers to the time when the Social Registry gets updated. The "irregularities" would be intimated when the POC is being developed. This would be detailed at the time of development of POC. Lack of unique identifier is the biggest challenge. The database of the Ration Card is used as the base database for this purpose. PostgreSQL 16 is being used. Specific thresholds are not prescribed in the EOI. However, higher accuracy and confidence will positively influence evaluation scores. Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages. Base database of Ration Card is used.
203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215	Use Case 3	System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking & Accessibility Security & Compliance User Base & Scalability Use Case Specific	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g., citizen feedback for Use Case 3, deed drafting services for Use Case 2)? For handling sensitive data (especially in Use Case 3), what are the specific requirements for data anonymization, pseudonymization, or encryption (at rest and in transity)? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the estimated total number of beneficiaries across all 100+ schemes? What is the expected volume of real-time queries for eligibility checks or beneficiary segmentation? What is the anticipated volume of "structured and unstructured grievance inputs (calls, texts, social media)" per day for the NLP-based feedback loop? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the precise definition of "near real-time" for beneficiary identification and updation? For "anomaly detection in scheme utilization," what are the most critical types of "irregularities in benefit usage patterns" (e.g., specific fraud scenarios, duplicate claims, over-entitlement) that the system should prioritize detecting? Could you walk us through how your current architecture handles duplicate or inconsistent records across different schemes and departments? What challenges are you currently facing with data harmonization across your federated databases? Are	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there. The AI solutions will be accessible over internet as well but any such access will be login based. Data would be provided in anonymized manner. The dataset is evolving and the current count is 22 Crores. The queries for eligibility checks are not in live environment at present, so no estimate is available as of now. Grievance input volumes are not available as the same is not in the live environment. "Near real time" refers to the time when the Social Registry gets updated. The "irregularities" would be intimated when the POC is being developed. This would be detailed at the time of development of POC. Lack of unique identifier is the biggest challenge. The database of the Ration Card is used as the base database for this purpose. PostgreSQL 16 is being used. Specific thresholds are not prescribed in the EOI. However, higher accuracy and confidence will positively influence evaluation scores. Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages. Base database of Ration Card is used.
203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216	Use Case 3	System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking & Accessibility Security & Compliance User Base & Scalability Use Case Specific	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g., citizen feedback for Use Case 3, deed drafting services for Use Case 2)? For handling sensitive data (especially in Use Case 3), what are the specific requirements for data anonymization, pseudonymization, or encryption (at rest and in transit)? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the estimated total number of beneficiaries across all 100+ schemes? What is the expected volume of real-time queries for eligibility checks or beneficiary segmentation? What is the anticipated volume of "structured and unstructured grievance inputs (calls, texts, social media)" per day for the NLP-based feedback loop? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the precise definition of "near real-time" for beneficiary identification and updation? For "anomaly detection in scheme utilization," what are the most critical types of "irregularities in benefit usage patterns" (e.g., specific fraud scenarios, duplicate claims, over-entitlement) that the system should prioritize detecting? Could you walk us through how your current architecture handles duplicate or inconsistent records across different schemes and departments? What challenges are you currently facing with data harmonization across your federated data bases? Are	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there. The AI solutions will be accessible over internet as well but any such access will be login based. Data would be provided in anonymized manner. The dataset is evolving and the current count is 22 Crores. The queries for eligibility checks are not in live environment at present, so no estimate is available as of now. Grievance input volumes are not available as the same is not in the live environment. "Near real time" refers to the time when the Social Registry gets updated. The "irregularities" would be intimated when the POC is being developed. This would be detailed at the time of development of POC. Lack of unique identifier is the biggest challenge. The database of the Ration Card is used as the base database for this purpose. PostgreSQL 16 is being used. Specific thresholds are not prescribed in the EOI. However, higher accuracy and confidence will positively influence evaluation scores. Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages. Base database of Ration Card is used. Both real-time and periodic. As per predetermined schedule. Yes Query is not specific.
203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217	Use Case 3	System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking & Accessibility Security & Compliance User Base & Scalability Use Case Specific	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g., citizen feedback for Use Case 3, deed drafting services for Use Case 2)? For handling sensitive data (especially in Use Case 3), what are the specific requirements for data anonymization, pseudonymization, or encryption (at rest and in transit)? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the estimated total number of beneficiaries across all 100+ schemes? What is the expected volume of real-time queries for eligibility checks or beneficiary segmentation? What is the anticipated volume of "structured and unstructured grievance inputs (calls, texts, social media)" per day for the NLP-based feedback loop? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the precise definition of "near real-time" for beneficiary identification and updation? For "anomaly detection in scheme utilization," what are the most critical types of "irregularities in benefit usage patterns" (e.g., specific fraud scenarios, duplicate claims, over-entitlement) that the system should prioritize detecting? Could you walk us through how your current architecture handles duplicate or inconsistent records across different schemes and departments? What challenges are you currently facing with data harmonization across your federated data across vari	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for POC. Data should not be retained after POC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there. The AI solutions will be accessible over internet as well but any such access will be login based. Data would be provided in anonymized manner. The dataset is evolving and the current count is 22 Crores. The queries for eligibility checks are not in live environment at present, so no estimate is available as of now. Grievance input volumes are not available as the same is not in the live environment. "Near real time" refers to the time when the Social Registry gets updated. The "irregularities" would be intimated when the POC is being developed. This would be detailed at the time of development of POC. Lack of unique identifier is the biggest challenge. The database of the Ration Card is used as the base database for this purpose. PostgreSQL 16 is being used. Specific thresholds are not prescribed in the EOI. However, higher accuracy and confidence will positively influence evaluation scores. Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages. Base database of Ration Card is used. Both real-time and periodic. As per predetermined schedule. Yes Query is not specific. The specific departments and schemes for the PoC will be decided based on the use case and will be informed to shortlisted bidders at a later stage.
203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218	Use Case 3	System Integration & Interoperability Computing & Storage Resources Networking & Accessibility Networking & Accessibility Security & Compliance User Base & Scalability Use Case Specific	For Use Case 3's "Federated Database Management" and "Interoperability Layer", what are the specific data sharing protocols or mechanisms currently in use between departments? What is the expected real-time synchronization requirement for data across departments? What are the data retention policies or archival requirements for the raw, processed, and generated data, particularly for sensitive information in Use Case 3? What are the network bandwidth and latency requirements for internal access within the Finance Department and between different departments, especially for real-time data access for Use Cases 3 and 4? Will the AI solutions be accessible only within the government's internal network, or is there a requirement for external (internet) access for certain user groups or services (e.g., citizen feedback for Use Case 3, deed drafting services for Use Case 2)? For handling sensitive data (especially in Use Case 3), what are the specific requirements for data anonymization, pseudonymization, or encryption (at rest and in transit)? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the estimated total number of beneficiaries across all 100+ schemes? What is the expected volume of real-time queries for eligibility checks or beneficiary segmentation? What is the anticipated volume of "structured and unstructured grievance inputs (calls, texts, social media)" per day for the NLP-based feedback loop? Use Case 3 (Unified Social Registry): What is the precise definition of "near real-time" for beneficiary identification and updation? For "anomaly detection in scheme utilization," what are the most critical types of "irregularities in benefit usage patterns" (e.g., specific fraud scenarios, duplicate claims, over-entitlement) that the system should prioritize detecting? Could you walk us through how your current architecture handles duplicate or inconsistent records across different schemes and departments? What challenges are you currently facing with data harmonization across your federated databases? Are	lack of unique identifier. Standard APIs are used for sharing data across different platforms of Departments. Further details will be discussed during PoC development stage with participating firms. The data would be provided after anonymization, etc., for PoC. Data should not be retained after PoC. Most of the systems of various departments are in SDC, so bandwidth issue is not there. The AI solutions will be accessible over internet as well but any such access will be login based. Data would be provided in anonymized manner. The dataset is evolving and the current count is 22 Crores. The queries for eligibility checks are not in live environment at present, so no estimate is available as of now. Grievance input volumes are not available as the same is not in the live environment. "Near real time" refers to the time when the Social Registry gets updated. The "irregularities" would be intimated when the PoC is being developed. This would be detailed at the time of development of PoC. Lack of unique identifier is the biggest challenge. The database of the Ration Card is used as the base database for this purpose. PostgreSQL 16 is being used. Specific thresholds are not prescribed in the EoI. However, higher accuracy and confidence will positively influence evaluation scores. Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages. Base database of Ration Card is used. Both real-time and periodic. As per predetermined schedule. Yes Query is not specific. The specific departments and schemes for the PoC will be decided based on the use case and will be informed to

	1		Datasets may include historical records as well as current beneficiary lists, depending on the use case
221		3. Will datasets include historical records or only current beneficiary lists?	requirements and availability.
222		4. Will data be anonymized before sharing, or contain personally identifiable information (PII)?	Anonymized.
223		5. Will the same unique identifier (e.g., Aadhaar, ration card number, mobile) be present across datasets to enable matching?	Ration Card is used as base.
224		Will metadata/schema definitions be shared in advance for each dataset?	Will be discussed at the time of development for PoC.
225		How will data be shared — APIs, database connections, flat files?	All necessary data and documents for PoC execution will be shared in formats deemed appropriate by the Finance Department, depending on availability and security considerations.
226		2. Will departments expose live/federated APIs for the PoC, or will we work on static	Direct access to live production systems would not be provided during PoC.
227		snapshots? 3. Is there a data dictionary available for each participating scheme's database?	No
221		is there a data dictionary available for each participating scheme's database:	During the PoC stage, there is no predefined frequency of live updates from departmental systems. Data will
228	Use Case 3: Unified	4. What is the frequency of updatesexpected during PoC (real-time, daily batch, adhoc)?	typically be provided as static datasets or controlled snapshots sufficient for PoC development. If periodic refreshes are necessary for testing, these may be shared on an ad-hoc basis at the discretion of the Finance Department. Real-time or daily live updates would only be relevant for post-PoC implementation.
229	Social Registry for Enhanced Delivery of	For beneficiary segmentation — what are the primary grouping criteria (income, geography, demographics)?	Grouping is as per scheme criterea.
230	Welfare Services	2. For GIS-based targeting — will geolocation data be provided in beneficiary records?	Not available with all the scheme data.
231		3. For interoperability — should the PoC demonstrate cross-department updates (e.g., change in one DB reflected in others)?	Would be discussed during development for PoC.
232		4. Should the system support duplicate detection and de-duplication across datasets in the PoC?	Will be discussed at the time of development for PoC.
233		Should anomaly detection be applied to eligibility fraud, beneficiary duplication, or transaction misuse?	Yes
234		2. For grievance analysis — will we receive past grievance text data, and in which	The data would be provided as far as practicable.
235		languages? 3. Should sentiment analysis be included in grievance handling?	Would be discussed during development for PoC.
		Should sentiment analysis be included in grievance handling? What are the success metrics — data match rate, eligibility accuracy, anomaly	
236		detection precision/recall, grievance classification accuracy?	Will be discussed at the time of development for PoC.
237		2. Will there be a hidden test dataset for evaluation?	No The PoC results are expected to be demonstrated in a clear and practical manner that showcases the effectiveness,
			feasibility and applicability of the proposed solution.
238		3. What is the expected user interface — dashboard, API, reports?	Bidders are encouraged to prepare a live demo, accompanied by a presentation and brief documentation explaining
			the approach, architecture, and expected outcomes. Any further updates at any later stages will be informed to all firms who qualify for developing the PoCs
239		Q1. The "Geo-Spatial Intelligence" module aims to identify underserved geographies.	As per scheme criterea
237		How does the Department currently define an "underserved" area? Q2. For the "AI/ML-based beneficiary identification and updation module," could you	As per seneme ernerea
240		Q2. For the Al/ML-based openiciary toeninication and updation module, could you provide examples of the "dynamic socioeconomic indicators" and "scheme-specific criteria" that will be used?	Would be discussed during development for PoC.
241	Unified Social Registry	Q3. For the "Anomaly Detection in Scheme Utilization" module, does the Department have a set of known fraud patterns or business rules (e.g., one person receiving benefits under mutually exclusive schemes) that can be used to train or guide the initial models?	Would be discussed during development for PoC.
242	for Enhanced Delivery of Public Welfare Services	Q5. How will the success of the PoC for this use case be measured? For example, will it be based on the percentage reduction in duplicate beneficiaries identified in a sample dataset, or the accuracy of the eligibility engine against a pre-verified beneficiary list?	The higher the confidence threshold and lower the error rate, the better it may be for favourable evaluation. There are no minimum acceptable benchmarks defined in the EOI. Further updates, if any, would be informed to all participating firms during PoC development
243		Q6. The EOI specifies high-level categories such as 'risk,' 'vulnerability,' and 'lifecycle stage.' While we understand that our role is to use machine learning to identify the optimal data parameters for this segmentation, could the Department provide any existing business rules, definitions, or frameworks it currently uses to define these categories? For example, is there a formal definition of what constitutes a 'high-risk' household?	
244	Beneficiary Data Sources	Identify the departments/schemes providing beneficiary data and the formats in which they will be shared (CSV, API, database dump).	Dump data will be provided. A select set of departments and schemes have been chosen for the Proof of Concept (PoC) phase. The specific details of these will be communicated to the shortlisted PoC participants.
245	Data Update Frequency	Confirm how often beneficiary data is updated in each department, and whether real-time	Real time
246		sync is possible. Specify the compliance standards and approval process for accessing and processing sensitive citizen data in a federated architecture.	All firms are advised to build their solutions with privacy-by-design principles and to ensure full compliance with ISO 27001/CERT-IN standards wherever applicable. However, PoC development would not be affected due to
247	Interoperability Requirements	Provide the structure of existing MIS databases, including field mappings and data dictionaries for alignment.	any restricted zones. The detailed structure of existing MIS databases and data dictionaries will not be shared publicly in the EOI stage. For PoC, bidders will be provided with sample extracts. Full database schemas, field mappings and data dictionaries will be shared with selected bidders at the implementation stage, under NDA and subject to security clearance, to ensure accurate integration.
248	Segmentation Parameters	Confirm the exact attributes to be used for beneficiary segmentation (risk score, vulnerability, lifecycle stage).	The AI model is expected to categorize beneficiaries by risk, vulnerability, and life-cycle stage
249	GIS Data Availability	Clarify if geospatial coverage maps for services and underserved areas are available in GIS-ready formats.	Available data will be provided. However, it is expected that the bidder will propose a methodology for either using existing GIS data (if available) or creating geospatial coverage maps as part of the solution to achieve the stated objectives. The necessary data will be provided during the Proof of Concept (PoC) stage for the successful development of the solution.
250	Anomaly Detection Scope	Confirm which fraud patterns or irregularities are to be prioritized in PoC (duplicate claims, sudden benefit spikes, etc.).	Would be discussed during development for PoC.
251	Feedback & Grievance Sources	List the platforms providing citizen feedback (helplines, portals, social media) and whether historical grievance data will be shared.	Citizen feedback is collected via multiple platforms: helplines/call centres, online portals, mobile appsetc.The necessary data and documents will be provided as required for the successful execution and development of the PoC.
252	Integration Approach for PoC	Confirm if the PoC will use simulated/frozen datasets or connect to live departmental systems during testing.	No real-time integrations are expected during PoC stage
253		For the Generative AI and Document Intelligence system for Finance (Usecase 4), is the primary objective accurate document-level retrieval with citation, or should the PoC also demonstrate deeper analysis like policy comparison or redundancy detection	The PoC is expected to demonstrate both accurate retrieval with citation and the feasibility of deeper analytical capabilities.
254	December 1 Control	Are there any recommendations from client on any techniques to be used for preserving Institutional knowledge?	As outlined in the EOI document.
255	Preserving Institutional knowledge	Should the analytics be performed in real time, near real time or in batch mode?	The type of analytics to be performed would depend on the user. However, real time and near real time analytics
256		Should the final solution be on-prem, cloud or hybrid setup?	features would be required. The solution should be capable of being hosted and deployed at the West Bengal State Data Centre.
200		south of our prem, could be hybrid south.	1 Delign blate Data Cellite.

257		users to ask queries directly in English, and it should be able to handle reasonably Complex Queries with semantic understanding (not just keywords-based search) using latest technologies like LLM-RAG while ensuring it does not give confident wrong answers (i.e. control AI hallucinations).	LLM will be used to build the solutions. Please confirm if there is any preference for open source models or proprietary	This is open to innovation. There are no recommended solutions. However, efficiency metrics including compute performance and cost of operation may form a part of the PoC evaluation criteria of the PoC Evaluation Committee.
258			Will sample files or historical case records be shared for training and testing the proposal analysis module?	Yes
259			Should the Enterprise GPT model handle both English and Bengali inputs, especially for	Yes
237			voice interfaces?	
260		Enterprise AI Model for	Are there any restrictions on the use of external LLM APIs (e.g., OpenAI, Cohere) or is on-premise hosting preferred?	As such there are no overarching restrictions specified in the EOI. However, the following may be noted: As per Section 7(3) of the EOI, all intellectual property rights of developed solutions will vest with the Government of West Bengal. NDA, confidentiality and masking of sensitive data related requirements will have to be adhered to by the PoC participants as per established Govt standards. Moreover, the solution should be capable of being hosted and deployed at the West Bengal State Data Centre.
261		File Analysis and Decision Making	What are the evaluation criteria for hallucination control in LLM outputs?	Hallucination control will be checked during PoC development also before evaluation. The Outputs may quote the references from the relevant context and/or underlying documents referred by the Model for generating the output. Other detailed criteria could be outlined by the PoC Evaluation Committee. Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages.
262			How frequently are circulars/OMs updated, and will a central repository be provided to integrate dynamic learning?	There is no predefined or fixed frequency when circulars/OMs get updated. However, feature for uploading updates by predesignated users may be kept. Access to central repository through APIs would only also be provided in post-POC stage.
263			What are the expectations around citation and para-highlighting from the knowledge repository?	Yes. However, as the question is open ended, a definitive answer to the query is not possible.
264		Data Environment	Use Case 4: Can you provide a more detailed inventory or categorization of the	Yes, details will be provided during PoC development.
		(Specific to Use Cases)	"Information/Data Sources" from the organization?	
265		Interoperability	Are there existing APIs or web services available for programmatic access and integration with departmental databases or other enterprise systems for any of the use cases, particularly for Use Case 4's "integration with existing database and APIs"?	Integration will be done at post-POC stage. For PoC development sample data based testing would suffice along with API development (but no integration) which can pull data from a trusted source/existing database whenever integration happens.
266			Use Case 4 (File Analysis): What is the estimated number of unique officials who will utilize the Enterprise GPT chat-like interface within the Finance Department? What is the expected daily query volume for the AI model?	Around 500 unique users are there. Not everyone would utilise it daily perhaps. Expected daily query volume may be upto 10000.
267		Use Case Specific Technical Clarifications	Use Case 4 (File Analysis): Can you provide more concrete examples of "reasonably Complex Queries" that the Enterprise GPT chat-like interface should be able to handle with semantic understanding? What is the acceptable "confidence interval" or "error rate" for answers provided by the AI model, and how will "control AI hallucinations" be specifically measured or validated during the PoC evaluation?	Yes, concrete examples will be provided during PoC development. Some parts of the query form part of the PoC evaluation. Therefore, the higher the confidence threshold and lower the error rate, the better it may be for favourable evaluation. There are no minimum acceptable benchmarks defined in the EOI. Further updates, if any, would be informed to all participating firms during PoC development. Hallucination control will be checked during PoC development also before evaluation. The Outputs may quote the references from the relevant context and/or underlying documents referred by the Model for generating the output. Any other detailed criteria that would be outlined by the PoC Evaluation Committee. Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages.
268			What types of documents will be included in the PoC (circulars, orders, manuals, case files, meeting notes, scanned PDFs)?	Circulars, Orders, Statutes, digitally readable and scanned PDFs are expected to be included. NDA, confidentiality, and masking of sensitive data will apply as per established Government standards. The exact list and formats will be shared with shortlisted bidders at the PoC development stage.
269			Will we receive both structured and unstructured content, or only unstructured text?	A mix of structured and unstructured content will be provided. However, a larger percentage will be unstructured than structured.
270			Will documents be provided in Bengali, English, or both?	Mostly English. However, some documents in Bengali will also be there.
271			What is the expected data volume for the PoC (number of documents/pages)?	The volume will be in GBs. Sample data provided in PoC stage will not contain such information. However, NDA, confidentiality and
272			Will documents contain sensitive or classified information, and if so, will the redact versions be provided?	masking of sensitive data related requirements will have to be adhered to by the firm as per established Government standards.
273			How will documents be shared — bulk download, API, secure file transfer?	Documents will be shared in formats as deemed necessary and as per availability to ensure successful PoC execution. NDA, confidentiality and masking of sensitive data related requirements will have to be adhered to as per established Government standards.
274			Will we get continuous updates during PoC, or only a static snapshot?	Direct access to live production systems would not be provided during PoC.
275			Should the system automatically index newly issued circulars/OMs in near-real-time?	Yes
276	Use Case 4		Should the PoC demonstrate Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) with citations for every answer?	The EOI does not mandate the use of Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) for every answer. However, bidders are encouraged to incorporate mechanisms for citation and referencing from relevant context or underlying documents, as this will be evaluated positively.
277			For citations — should they link to specific paragraphs or entire documents?	Citations should be as specific as possible to the relevant source material paragraph/sentence/ etc. to ensure accuracy and traceability.
278			Is highlighting the exact answer text in the source document mandatory?	Enabling highlighting of the specific answer text or relevant excerpt within the source document would significantly enhance usability, transparency, and evaluation scores, especially for use cases involving knowledge retrieval.
279			Should the PoC support multi-document summarization?	Yes
280	Use Case 4: Enterprise AI Model for File Analysis and Decision Making	Is Bengali voice input required for PoC or can it be part of full implementation?	Bengali voice input is required for successful PoC development. The Enterprise GPT model should handle both English and Bengali inputs (including voice) where applicable. Implementing Bengali voice input at PoC stage could enhance evaluation scores. In the worst case, it may be proposed for the full implementation phase if not found feasible at all during PoC, provided the core multilingual capability is demonstrated in the PoC.	
281		Should the system support multilingual queries (ask in Bengali, get English content, and vice versa)?	Yes. As indicated in earlier clarifications (e.g., Use Case 4), the system should be able to handle both English and Bengali inputs, including for voice interfaces where applicable. This would naturally extend to supporting cross-language queries — for example, asking in Bengali and retrieving English content and vice versa — provided the underlying data and translation modules meet the required accuracy, privacy and security standards.	

	İ		
			Hallucination control will be evaluated during PoC development and before final assessment based on similar metrics.
282		What are the acceptance criteria for hallucination control — e.g., maximum X% incorrect answers without citation?	Specific thresholds are not prescribed in the EOI. However, higher accuracy and confidence will positively influence evaluation scores.
			Any further updates will be informed to all participating firms at later stages.
283		Should the system refuse to answer if confidence is below a threshold?	Yes
284		Are there specific evaluation metrics (perplexity, grounding accuracy, citation recall) for this PoC?	PoC evaluation criteria will be the same across bidders. Any further updates will be informed to all participating
285		Will we need to integrate feedback capture for incorrect answers during PoC?	firms at later stages. Yes, ideally when feedback is given explicity, it should help train the Model.
286		Should the PoC integrate into an existing government portal or be a standalone interface?	Integration I envisaged during PoC development. Post-PoC integration may be required if the solution is chosen for full-fledged rollout. However, any integration would be through standard APIs where deemed necessary after PoC development. Details would be discussed during PoC development as & when required.
287		Is there a preferred vector database or search engine (e.g., Elastic, Pinecone, Azure Cognitive Search)?	There is no preferred vector database or search engine. Bidder may use any suitable technology stack that meets the functional, performance and security requirements as per industry standards.
288		Are there data residency requirements— must all data and indexes stay within India?	Yes
289		Are there approved LLMs or providers, or can we choose freely as long as they meet security guidelines?	Bidder can choose freely as long as the solution meets security guidelines, adheres to NDA and confidentiality requirements and can be hosted and deployed at the West Bengal State Data Centre.
290		Should all LLM calls be self-hosted to avoid sending data to public APIs?	Yes, ideallly. All LLM calls must comply with the Government's data security and confidentiality requirements. Solutions should be capable of being hosted and deployed at the West Bengal State Data Centre, so using public APIs is not preferred unless they fully meet these requirements and keep all data within approved infrastructure.
291		What is the size of the data to be processed (ballpark estimate of the number of pages across the set of documents)?	For PoC development, the volume of data or number of pages to be processed will depend on the robustness of the model deployed and the results achieved. Data and documents are available in sufficiently large numbers to enable meaningful PoC execution. However, providing a fixed ballpark figure at this stage is not possible, as requirements will vary depending on the approach and methodology adopted by each participating firm. Post-POC, the size of data to be processed is in GBs.
292		What are the different formats in which the current data is stored? Is it just PDF (text), PDF (Image) etc.? Or does it have other formats of documents also?	The format is typically PDF (text), PDF (image), etc. There could be MS Office file formats also in a few cases. NDA, confidentiality and masking of sensitive data will apply as per established Government standards. The exact list and formats will be shared with shortlisted bidders at the PoC development stage.
293		Will OCR (Image to Text conversion) - Optical Character Recognition) for converting scanned/text be required?	It may be required in some cases.
294		Q1. The scope includes automating the analysis of proposals. What are the key criteria the system should check for? For example, should it check for completeness against a checklist, adherence to financial codes, consistency with past decisions, or potential duplication with other proposals?	Yes, the system is expected to incorporate checks across multiple dimensions to assist Finance Department officials in proposal examination
295	Use Case 4: Finance Department Enterprise AI Model for File Analysis and Decision Making	Q2. Page 5 specifies the system should handle "reasonably Complex Queries with semantic understanding." Could the Department provide 2-3 examples of a simple query versus a complex query that an official might ask?	Simple Query (direct information retrieval): "Provide the latest order on TA/DA rules." Complex Query (semantic understanding and multi-step reasoning): "Has the Finance Department issued any order in the last two years regarding relaxation of austerity measure on computer procurement
296		Q3. The EOI mentions a "Learning system and Admin View so that the system improves as more data passes through it." Could you clarify the expectation for this "learning"? Is it based on explicit user feedback (e.g., a thumbs up/down on an answer), or should the model learn implicitly from user query patterns? What key metrics should the Admin View display?	The "learning system" is expected to encompass both explicit and implicit feedback mechanisms, within the constraints of Government data privacy and security policies
297	Use of Cloud-based LLM Models	Whether cloud-hosted Large Language Models (e.g., OpenAI, Azure OpenAI) can be used for the enterprise GPT-like chat interface, or if the deployment must be fully on-premises due to data sensitivity and policy restrictions.	As such there are no overarching restrictions specified in the EOI. However, the following may be noted: As per Section 7(3) of the EOI, all intellectual property rights of developed solutions will vest with the Government of West Bengal. NDA, confidentiality and masking of sensitive data related requirements will have to be adhered to by the PoC participants as per established Govt standards. Moreover, the solution should be capable of being hosted and deployed at the West Bengal State Data Centre.
298	Document Types & Formats	Clarify the types of documents to be processed (PDF, DOCX, XLSX, scanned images, emails) and whether they include embedded images, tables, or charts.	Solutions must be capable of handling all type of data format, both structured and unstructured content will be there. It must support text, tabular and image-based information.
299	Document Quality & Structure	Confirm if the majority of documents are well-formatted and machine-readable, or if significant preprocessing (OCR, layout detection, cleaning) will be required.	the majority of documents for Use Case 4 are likely well-formatted and machine-readable. Some files may contain scanned annexures, signatures or older scanned references
300	Evaluation Flow & Criteria for Proposals	Request any SOPs, manuals, or official guidelines that define proposal evaluation workflows, and details of the criteria or rules used to identify inconsistencies or gaps in proposals.	At present, the Finance Department does not have a single consolidated SOP/manual governing proposal evaluation workflows. Proposal evaluation is carried out by relevant Groups, Branches, Cells, and Directorates, each following departmental practices, circulars, OMs, and policy guidelines applicable to their domain.
301	Proposal Categorization Scope for PoC	Confirm whether proposals can be grouped into categories with similar workflows and if the PoC scope can be limited to a few priority categories before scaling up in full deployment.	Yes. Proposals handled by the Finance Department may be grouped into broad categories. Many of these categories share similar workflows and evaluation criteria. For the PoC stage, bidders may be asked to focus on a limited set of priority categories that are representative of typical workflows.
302	Knowledge Management System Requirements	Identify the types of institutional knowledge to be preserved (policy documents, case histories, manuals) and whether a digitized repository already exists or needs to be created from physical records.	For Use Case 4, the institutional knowledge to be preserved primarily includes: Policy documents, circulars and office memoranda (OMs). Proposal examination records, case histories and file notings. Departmental guidelines and manuals relating to financial management and administrative procedures. Clarifications and orders issued by the Finance Department over time. A significant portion of this knowledge already exists in digital form. However, these are currently siloed and fragmented rather than consolidated.
303		List the departmental databases, MIS platforms, or APIs the PoC must connect to, and whether integration will be API-based or file-based during the PoC phase.	During the Proof of Concept (PoC) phase, no real-time integration with existing departmental databases, MIS
	Systems	whether integration will be Art-based of the-based during the roc phase.	platforms, or APIs is required